

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



NEUROSCIENCE AND BIOBEHAVIORAL REVIEWS

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 30 (2006) 1004-1031

www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev

Review

## A meta-analysis of structural brain abnormalities in PTSD

Anke Karl<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Michael Schaefer<sup>c</sup>, Loretta S. Malta<sup>d</sup>, Denise Dörfel<sup>a</sup>, Nicolas Rohleder<sup>a</sup>, Annett Werner<sup>e,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Biopsychology Unit, University of Technology Dresden, Germany

School of Psychology, University of Southampton, UK

<sup>c</sup>Human Cortical Physiology Section, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

<sup>d</sup>Weill Medical College, Cornell University Program for Anxiety and Traumatic Stress Studies, New York, USA

<sup>e</sup>Institute for Radiological Diagnostics, University Hospital, Department of Neuroradiology, University Hospital Dresden,

Dresden University of Technology, Fetscherstrasse 74, Dresden D-01307, Germany

Received 20 October 2005; received in revised form 16 March 2006; accepted 21 March 2006

## Abstract

This series of meta-analyses examined structural abnormalities of the hippocampus and other brain regions in persons with PTSD compared to trauma-exposed control groups. The findings were significantly smaller hippocampal volumes in persons with PTSD compared to controls with and without trauma exposure, but group differences were moderated by MRI methodology, PTSD severity, medication, age and gender. Trauma-exposed persons without PTSD also showed significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal compared to non-exposed controls. Meta-analyses also found significantly smaller left amygdala volumes in adults with PTSD compared to both healthy and trauma-exposed controls, and significantly smaller anterior cingulate cortex compared to trauma-exposed controls, but there were no group differences in hippocampal volume. The overall findings suggested a dimensional, developmental psychopathology systems model in which: (1) hippocampal volumetric differences covary with PTSD severity; (2) hippocampal volumetric differences do not become apparent until adulthood; and (3) PTSD is associated with abnormalities in multiple frontal–limbic system structures.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Meta-analysis; PTSD; Hippocampus; MRI; Plasticity; Memory

#### Contents

1006 1006
1006
1006
1000
1007
1007
1007
1007
1007
1008

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. School of Psychology, University of Southampton, Southampton SO171BJ, UK. Tel.: +442380592633; fax: +442380592588. \*\*Also Correspondence to. Tel.: +493514582971; fax: +493514584370.

E-mail addresses: karl@soton.ac.uk (A. Karl), Annett.Werner@uniklinikum-dresden.de (A. Werner).

<sup>0149-7634/\$ -</sup> see front matter 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2006.03.004

	3.3.	Analyses	3: moderator analyses, sample-related variables	1010
		3.3.1. I	Disjoint cluster analysis of all studies	1010
		3.3.2. E	Effects of moderator variables.	1010
	3.4.	Analyses	3: structural abnormalities in other brain areas	1014
		3.4.1. A	Amydgala	1014
		3.4.2.	Other structures	1015
4.	Discu	ssion		1016
	4.1.	Methodol	logical moderating variables	1016
	4.2.	Sample-re	elated moderating variables	1017
	4.3.	Implicatio	ons for future research	1019
	4.4.	Limitation	ns	1020
	4.5.	Conclusio	DNS	1020
Ack	nowled	lgements.		1020
App	bendix	Α		1020
App	bendix	B		1020
App	bendix	С		1020
App	bendix	D		1020
Ref	erences	8		1028

## 1. Introduction

Exposure to trauma can precipitate the development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), a complex syndrome comprising re-experiencing symptoms (e.g., nightmares, flashbacks) hyperarousal symptoms (e.g., insomnia), numbing symptoms (e.g., restricted affect, anhedonia), and avoidance symptoms (e.g., avoiding trauma-related stimuli) (DSM-IV, American Psychiatric Association, 1994) in addition to poor concentration and difficulty explicitly recalling aspects of the traumatic event (DSM-IV, American Psychiatric Association, 1994). PTSD may be accompanied by other types of mild cognitive impairment, such as relatively impoverished autobiographic memory for positive events (Harvey et al., 1998; McNally et al., 1995) as well as problems with attention, working memory (Vasterling et al., 1998, 2002), and learning novel word associations (Golier et al., 2002). Studies of electroencephalographic activity (Karl et al., 2006) have found that PTSD is associated with enhanced processing of trauma-related stimuli and reduced processing of neutral stimuli. Converging evidence from neuroimaging research suggests that this altered information processing is associated with differential functional neuroanatomical activity in PTSD (Bremner et al., 1999b, 2003b; Clark et al., 2003; Matsuo et al., 2003; Rauch et al., 1996; Shaw et al., 2002; Shin et al., 2004a, b).

Studies of structural brain abnormalities in PTSD have focused in particular on the hippocampus, a grey matter structure in the limbic system that is critically involved in explicit (declarative) memory, working memory (O'Keefe and Nadel, 1978; Squire, 1992), and memory for episodic events (Eldridge et al., 2000; Tulving, 1985; Wheeler and Buckner, 2004). The hippocampus also has an important role in the regulation of stress (Jacobson and Sapolsky, 1991), and findings from animal research suggest that chronic stress may affect the hippocampus through excess release of glucocorticoids (Sapolsky et al., 1990), corticotropin-releasing hormone (Brunson et al., 2001), and glutamate (Moghaddam, 2002; Moghaddam and Bolinao, 1994), inhibition of neurogenesis (Gould et al., 1997); impaired long-term potentiation induction (Li et al., 2005); inhibition of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, Duric and McCarson, 2005) and altered serotonergic receptor function (Harvey et al., 2003).

Because of its critical role in learning and memory as well as stress regulation, alterations in the hippocampus have been proposed as contributing to the etiology of PTSD (Bremner, 2001; Sapolsky, 2000). However, findings from PTSD neuroimaging research are equivocal (Jelicic and Merckelbach, 2004). Some cross-sectional studies find reduced hippocampal volumes (e.g., Bremner et al., 1995; Gurvits et al., 1996; Stein et al., 1997) in PTSD but others do not (e.g., Pederson et al., 2004; Schuff et al., 2001). Right-sided (Bremner et al., 1995), left-sided (Gurvits et al., 1996) as well as bilateral (Bremner et al., 2003a) volumetric reductions have been reported. One longitudinal study failed to find reduced hippocampal volume at 6 months post-trauma (Bonne et al., 2001), but the sample in this study experienced only a single incident trauma rather than chronic trauma exposure. Smaller hippocampal volumes have been associated with longer time since trauma (Villarreal et al., 2002) as well as trauma severity (Bremner et al., 1997; Gurvits et al., 1996; Winter and Irle, 2004) but there are negative findings as well (Stein et al., 1997). Winter and colleagues (Winter and Irle, 2004) found reduced hippocampal volumes in burn survivors with and without PTSD, compared to non-exposed healthy controls, which suggests that trauma exposure may produce reductions in hippocampal volumes in the absence of a PTSD diagnosis. In contrast, in Gilbertson et al.'s (2002) twin study, smaller hippocampal volumes were only found in combat veterans with more severe PTSD compared to nonexposed controls, with no significant differences when

veterans with less severe PTSD were included in the analyses. Perhaps most critically, they found no significant difference in hippocampal volumes between monozygotic twin pairs with and without PTSD, and concluded that smaller hippocampal volume is a premorbid risk factor for severe and chronic PTSD, rather than a consequence of PTSD or trauma exposure.

In their critical review, Jelicic and Merckelbach (2004) noted that PTSD hippocampus volumetric studies are beset by a number of limitations, including small study sample sizes and low statistical power, methodological heterogeneity (e.g., neuroimaging measurements, type of control sample), and sample heterogeneity (e.g., type and severity of trauma exposure, comorbid psychopathology, medication use). Meta-analysis is a technique that can address some of these limitations, and the results of two recent meta-analyses have provided further evidence of hippocampal volumentric reduction in PTSD. Smith (2005) meta-analyzed 13 studies of adult patients with PTSD and found that persons with PTSD had left and right hippocampal volumes that were 7.2% and 7.0% smaller, respectively, than those of non-exposed controls, and 4.3% and 4.5% smaller, respectively, than those of trauma-exposed controls. Kitayama and associates (2005) also found smaller bilateral hippocampal volume in PTSD compared to both trauma-exposed and nonexposed controls in a meta-analysis of nine studies of adult patients, the majority of whom had chronic trauma exposure (combat veterans and adult survivors of childhood abuse).

The objective of the research that we present in this paper was to quantitatively integrate the literature through a comprehensive series of meta-analyses of structural abnormalities in PTSD. We expanded upon the results of the two previous meta-analyses (Kitayama et al., 2005; Smith, 2005) in the following ways. As recommended by Glass et al. (1981) we did not restrict the study sample to only those studies with the best methodology, which yielded a larger and more inclusive sample of studies. We then used empirical methods to identify sample heterogeneity and to construct homogenous groups for analyses. To examine whether volumetric reductions were specific to PTSD, we also meta-analyzed comparisons of trauma-exposed samples without PTSD versus healthy controls. To address method and sample variance, we conducted an extensive series of analyses examining the effects of moderator variables, including MRI methodology, gender, age and age of trauma exposure, PTSD severity and duration, comorbid disorders, and medication. To examine whether volumetric reductions were restricted to the hippocampus, we meta-analyzed PTSD volumetric studies of other brain regions. For ease of apprehension, we have organized the series of meta-analyses into separate sections punctuated by summaries. In the discussion we summarize the overall results and explicate their implications for the formulation of comprehensive neurobiological models of PTSD.

## 2. Methods

## 2.1. Studies/samples

Fifty English language candidate studies (23 hippocampus studies; 27 studies of other brain areas) were l ocated through electronic indexes (Medline, PsychInfo; keywords: PTSD and MRI, hippocampal volume, amygdala volume, ACC, corpus callosum) and through perusing relevant journals from 1990 to 2005 (e.g., Neuroimage, Nature Neuroscience, Hippocampus, Biological Psychiatry, Biological Psychology). To address the "file drawer problem" (Hunter and Schmidt, 1990),<sup>1</sup> citations and conference abstract bands (Society for Neuroscience, Human Brain Mapping) were also examined.

Candidate studies were classified according to their methodology and region examined (including brain hemisphere), and those with similar methods were included in the present meta-analysis. The meta-analysis study inclusion criteria were: (1) inclusion of a PTSD group based on DSM-III-R or DSM IV diagnostic criteria and a comparison group (either a non-PTSD trauma-exposed, or nonexposed controls); (2) sufficient methodological specification (e.g. sample size, MRI methodology); and (3) sufficient reporting of statistics. Twenty-one hippocampus studies, 11 amygdala studies and 18 studies reporting other structural brain measures were included in the metaanalyses. (See Appendices A, C and D). Two studies were not included because the study did not provide statistical information (Neylan et al., 2004b) or did not report right and left hippocampal/amygdala volume (Carrion et al., 2001).

## 2.2. Statistical procedures

Meta-analyses were computed based on the single effect size (ES) r, the Pearson product-moment correlation, a standardized form of the size of the observed effect. ES rvalues were calculated by the transformation of M and SD, t, F, or  $\chi^2$  values into r to obtain unitary ESs (Kraemer and Thiemann, 1987), using the Meta-analysis Program version 5.3 software by Schwarzer (1989). Only one ES per construct, per study was included in the meta-analysis (Rosenthal, 1995).

The meta-analysis was based on the more conservative random-effects model (Hedges and Olkin, 1985), in which both the within-study variance (used in the fixed-effects

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Among the general limitations of meta-analysis is the "file drawer problem": studies that find null or difficult-to-interpret results and are therefore unpublished. Although we attempted to address this problem, we obviously would not have access to unpublished papers and all conference presentations. On the other hand, the topic itself may be somewhat protected against this problem. Because of its relative novelty there may have been a strong motivation (in both the investigators and the editors) to publish all sorts of results.

1007

model) and the between-study variance  $(\tau^2)$  are incorporated in the variance component used to calculate weights (Field, 2001). ES *r*-values were weighted according to study sample size (Hedges and Olkin, 1985; Hedges and Vevea, 1998) and converted into the common metric of Fisher's *z* transformation of *r* (Rosenthal, 1995). The mean of *z* and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated for each set of ERP components (k = number of studies; Rosenthal, 1995). Mean ESs and CI were then converted back to *r* for ease of interpretation. If the 95% CI did not include zero, the null hypothesis could be rejected at a  $\alpha$  level of .05. Cohen's (1988) guidelines for interpreting the ES of sample–weighted average correlations were used: small = .10, medium = .30, and large = .50.

Sample heterogeneity was determined according to procedures proposed by Hedges and associates (1985, 1998) and (2001), in which heterogeneity is present if the between trials variance ( $\tau^2$ ) is greater than zero and/or the within-trials variance test ( $\chi^2$ ) is significant. To address the file drawer problem, Orwin's fail safe *N* (Orwin, 1983) was computed. For a specified critical *r* value, for example, .10, the fail safe *N* is the number of studies with an ES of zero required to reduce the mean population ES to that critical value, i.e., .10.

#### 2.2.1. Moderator variables

Moderator variables, which can account for sources of sample heterogeneity, were tested three ways. In analyses of MRI methodological moderators, we grouped studies according to common methodology, and then conducted meta-analyses of volumetric differences followed by tests (ANOVA,  $\chi^2$ ) of group differences in hypothetical moderator variables. For sample-related (individual differences) variables, we used disjoint cluster analysis (Hedges and Olkin, 1985; Mullen and Rosenthal, 1985) to identify homogenous subsets of studies. Disjoint cluster analysis yields non-overlapping clusters of study effect sizes through a rank-ordering of effect sizes and a comparison of their differences to critical values at a .01  $\alpha$ level. For the analysis, r-values are transformed into Fisher's z-values, and z-values are then multiplied by the square root of the sample size. The resulting value (u) is rankordered and the gap between each pair of consecutive uvalues is compared to a predetermined critical value (i.e., .01  $\alpha$  level, Schwarzer, 1989). We conducted two series of disjoint cluster analyses. In the first, we cluster analyzed all studies to derive homogenous clusters, and followed this with meta-analyses of volumetric differences and tests (ANOVA,  $\chi^2$ ) of group differences in hypothetical moderator variables, with Bonferroni-corrected  $\alpha$  levels to adjust for multiple comparisons. In the second, we used disjoint cluster analysis to identify studies that were homogenous for a particular moderator variable and then meta-analyzed volumetric differences in the homogenous clusters.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Analyses 1: hippocampal volumetric studies

Studies were grouped according to type of control group: trauma-exposed (non-PTSD) or non-trauma exposed healthy controls (HC); and by hippocampal hemisphere.

*PTSD vs. HC:* The meta-analysis included 15 studies (Studies # 1–4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14–17, 25, 26, 35 in Appendix A), N = 562. Persons with PTSD had significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal volume; see Table 1 and Fig. 1.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:* The meta-analysis included 12 studies (# 2, 4–7, 10, 13–15, 18, 25, 35 Appendix A), N = 379. The meta-analysis for the right hippocampus was not significant; but as shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, the PTSD group had significantly smaller left hippocampal volumes. As shown by  $\tau^2$  and  $\chi^2$  values in Table 1, analyses of sample heterogeneity were significant for all four of these meta-analyses, and therefore we analyzed potential moderators in Analyses 2 and 3 below.

Non-PTSD vs. HC: The meta-analysis included six studies (# 2, 4, 14, 15, 25, 35, Appendix A), N = 175. As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, the meta-analysis revealed significantly smaller hippocampal volumes bilaterally in the non-PTSD group as compared to the HC. The analysis for sample heterogeneity was significant for the right hippocampus, and therefore disjoint cluster analysis was used to identify a homogenous cluster of four studies (# 2, 15, 25, 35, Appendix A), N = 119. The meta-analysis of these studies found significantly smaller right hippocampal volume in the Non-PTSD group, with a medium effect size (ES).

Summary: When comparing PTSD vs. HC and also non-PTSD vs. HC, meta-analyses found significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal volume in PTSD. Meta-analyses of PTSD vs. non-PTSD were significant only for smaller left hippocampal volume. However, significant sample heterogeneity may have influenced the findings and therefore a series of moderator analyses was performed for MRI and volumetry methods (Analyses 2) as well as sample-related variables (Analyses 3). Due to the small number of studies comparing Non-PTSD vs. HC, moderator analyses were not performed for these studies.

# 3.2. Analyses 2: moderator analyses, MRI and volumetry methods

Two sets of analyses were performed: (a) one in which studies were grouped according to MRI acquisition protocols; and (b) one in which studies were grouped according to the anatomical borders employed.

## 3.2.1. MRI acquisition protocols

Appendix B presents MRI methodology for the studies. As shown, all but one study (#10) used whole body scanners operating at 1.5 T. Most laboratories employed three-dimensional T1-weighted spoiled gradient echo tech-

Table 1	
Meta-analysis of studies comparing hippocampal volume (PTSD vs. controls): MRI and volumetry met	hods

Side	Moderator/analysis	PTSD vs.	k	Ν	r <sub>w</sub>	$CI_w$		τ	$\chi^2$	Orwin's fail safe N
PTSD	vs. healthy controls (no traum	aa)								
Right	No moderator/all studies	Healthy controls (HC)	15	562	$28^{a}$	42	13	.05 <sup>b</sup>	38.15 <sup>b</sup>	6
	MRI acquisition	HC, WBV + high resolution	9	355	36 <sup>a</sup>	55	13	.09 <sup>b</sup>	31.88 <sup>b</sup>	7
		HC, other corr. + low resolution	6	207	$20^{a}$	35	04	.01 <sup>b</sup>	6.09 <sup>b</sup>	0
	Volumetry method	HC, alveus-fornix	5	123	$48^{a}$	61	32	0	3.48	7
		HC, mammillary bodies-fornix	5	274	17	42	.11	.07 <sup>b</sup>	16.08 <sup>b</sup>	-1
		HC, hippocampal body	3	103	$32^{a}$	52	09	.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.64	2
Left	No moderator/all studies	HC	15	562	$29^{a}$	43	14	.06 <sup>b</sup>	39.56 <sup>b</sup>	7
	MRI acquisition	HC, WBV+high resolution	9	355	34 <sup>a</sup>	54	10	.10 <sup>b</sup>	35.27 <sup>b</sup>	6
		HC, other corr. + low resolution	6	207	$25^{a}$	38	11	0	4.07	1
	Volumetry method	HC, alveus-fornix	5	123	39 <sup>a</sup>	54	22	.001	4.11	5
		HC, mammillary bodies-fornix	5	274	25	53	.09	.12 <sup>b</sup>	25.69 <sup>b</sup>	1
		HC, hippocampal body	3	103	32 <sup>a</sup>	51	10	.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.49	2
PTSD	vs. non-PTSD (exposed to ind	dex trauma)								
Right	No moderator/all studies	Non-PTSD	12	379	15	29	.001	.03 <sup>b</sup>	21.50 <sup>b</sup>	-3
	MRI acquisition	Non-PTSD, WBV + high resolution	9	301	$17^{a}$	32	01	.02 <sup>b</sup>	13.71 <sup>b</sup>	-1
		Non-PTSD, other corr. + low resolution	3	78	07	49	.38	.12 <sup>b</sup>	7.87 <sup>b</sup>	-2
	Volumetry method	Non-PTSD, alveus-fornix	5	186	07	22	.08	0	3.76	-3
		Non-PTSD, mammillary bodies-fornix	3	80	31	65	.13	.11 <sup>b</sup>	6.85 <sup>b</sup>	2
Left	No moderator/all studies	Non-PTSD	12	379	$22^{a}$	39	04	.07 <sup>b</sup>	33.23 <sup>b</sup>	1
	MRI acquisition	Non-PTSD, WBV + high resolution	9	301	$26^{a}$	46	04	.08 <sup>b</sup>	27.51 <sup>b</sup>	3
		Non-PTSD, other corr. + low resolution	3	78	09	42	.26	.06	4.44	-2
	Volumetry method	Non-PTSD, alveus-fornix	5	186	14	28	.01	0	3.44	-2
		Non-PTSD, mammillary bodies-fornix	3	80	53	88	.19	.43 <sup>b</sup>	20.70 <sup>b</sup>	5
Non-P1	TSD vs. HC									
Right	No moderator/all studies	Non-PTSD/HC	6	174	$32^{a}$	47	15	.01 <sup>b</sup>	6.26 <sup>b</sup>	4
		Subset	4	119	$42^{a}$	56	25	0	1.26	4
Left	No moderator/all studies	Non-PTSD/HC	6	174	23 <sup>a</sup>	38	08	0	1.82	0

*Note:* k = number of studies,  $r_w =$  weighted r,  $CI_w = 95\%$  confidence interval for weighted r,  $\tau^2 =$  between trials variance;  $\chi^2 =$  within trials variance; non-PTSD trauma exposed refers to those exposed to the study index trauma, but without PTSD.

 $^{\rm a}p$  < .05.

<sup>b</sup>Heterogeneity.

niques with echo times in the range of 4–6 ms and repetition times of 15–35 ms. In the majority of studies, volume estimation was done by manual tracings (rather than automated methods) that were typically rated by two or three experts; inter-rater reliability coefficients ranged from .61 to .99. Intra-rater reliability coefficients ranged from .82 to .97; however, three of the eight studies that reported this analyzed ratings from only one expert. Most studies employed algorithms correcting hippocampal volume for either body height or whole brain volume (WBV) that was typically determined by semi-automated tissue segmentation algorithms.

For the meta-analyses, studies were grouped according to the following two acquisition methods: (1) WBV correction and high spatial resolution (PTSD/HC Studies # 1, 3, 11, 15–17, 25, 26, 35, N = 355; PTSD/non-PTSD Studies # 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 15, 18, 25, 35, N = 301) and (2) other/no correction and lower resolution (PTSD/HC Studies # 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 14, N = 207; PTSD/non-PTSD Studies # 2, 4, 14, N = 78).

*PTSD vs. HC:* As shown in Table 1, both the metaanalysis of studies employing WBV correction/high resolution as well as that for studies using other/no correction and lower resolution revealed significantly smaller hippocampal volume on bilaterally.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:* The meta-analysis for studies employing WBV correction/high resolution found significantly smaller hippocampal volume bilaterally, but the meta-analysis of studies that used other/no correction and lower resolution was not significant. However, as shown in Table 1 ( $\tau^2$  and  $\chi^2$ ), analyses of sample heterogeneity were significant for all four of these meta-analyses.  $\chi^2$  tests found that samples in studies that used other/no correction methods and lower resolution had a significantly greater proportion of females,  $\chi^2(2) = 11.87$ ; p = .003; and there was a trend for samples in WBV correction/high-resolution studies to have a greater proportion of children and older adults,  $\chi^2(2) = 5.71$ ; p = .06.

#### 3.2.2. Delineation of hippocampal anatomical boundaries

As shown in Appendix B, the majority of studies determined hippocampal volume in contiguous coronal slices using the landmark method for the determination of anatomical boundaries, and mostly included the entire hippocampus, with the exception of three studies (# 8, 9, 14) that determined only the mid-hippocampal segment



Fig. 1. *Effect of PTSD:* Hippocampal volume effect sizes (ES) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for comparisons of PTSD and control groups (squares and circles) and, *Effect of Trauma:* Hippocampal volume effect sizes (ES) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for comparison of Non-PTSD and HC (rhombus), plotted per hemisphere (left panel: left hippocampal volume ES, right panel: right hippocampal volume ES). Negative ESs indicate smaller hippocampal volume in PTSD respectively trauma-exposed groups. Non-PTSD = exposed to index trauma, but no PTSD; HC = non-exposed healthy controls.

(hippocampal body). Studies varied in the landmarks/ anatomical borderlines employed to delineate the hippocampus. For the most anterior slice, several studies employed landmarks such as the first appearance of the mammillary bodies (# 7, 10, 11, 12, 15–17) or the superior colliculi (# 8, 9, 14). More recent studies (# 1, 3, 5, 18, 25, 26) used the appearance of the alveus/ uncal recess to delineate the border between the hippocampus and amygdala. Most studies used the crus of the fornix to determine the posterior slice, but three (# 8, 9, 14) used the slice that showed a bifurcation of the basilar artery as posterior boundary.

For the analyses, studies were grouped according to hippocampal anatomical boundaries as follows. For PTSD vs. HC, the groups of studies were: (1) uncal recess/ alveus-crus of fornix (alveus/fornix), five studies (# 1, 3, 25, 26, 35), N = 123; (2) mammillary bodies-crus of fornix (mammillary bodies/fornix), five studies (# 11, 12, 15, 16, 17), N = 274; and (3) superior colliculi-bifurcation of basilar artery (hippocampal body),<sup>2</sup> three studies (# 8, 9, 14), N = 103. For PTSD vs. non-PTSD the groups of studies were: (1) uncal recess/alveus—crus of fornix (alveus/fornix), five studies (# 5, 6, 18, 25, 35), N = 186; (2) mammillary bodies–crus of fornix (mammillary bodies/fornix), three studies (# 7, 10, 15), N = 80.

*PTSD vs. HC:* As shown in Table 1, meta-analyses for studies employing alveus/fornix and those employing superior colliculi/basilar artery found that persons with **PTSD** had significantly smaller hippocampal volume bilaterally, with medium effect sizes. No significant effects were found for studies employing the mammillary bodies/ fornix as boundaries.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD*: Only studies employing alveus/ fornix and mammillary bodies/fornix could be tested, and neither meta-analysis was significant. However, as shown in Table 1 ( $\tau^2$  and  $\chi^2$ ), analyses of sample heterogeneity were significant for two these meta-analyses, and also for the PTSD vs. HC meta-analyses. A  $\chi^2$  test found a trend for samples in the PTSD vs. HC studies that used the alveus/fornix and the superior colliculi/basilar artery to have a greater proportion of adults compared to studies that used mammillary bodies/fornix to determine boundaries,  $\chi^2(4) = 7.89$ ; p = .096.

Summary: Meta-analyses found significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal volume in PTSD vs. HC, regardless of the type of correction method used, or level of spatial resolution. However, when comparing PTSD to traumaexposed non-PTSD, significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal volumes were found only in those studies that used WBV correction and high spatial resolution. The results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>One study (Vythilingam et al., 2005) also reported hippocampal body size with a zero result. However, this study was not included in the meta-analysis because the whole hippocampus ES of that study was already included in anatomical group 1 and we followed the recommendations by (Rosenthal, 1995) that only one ES per study is to be included in the same meta-analysis.

suggest that subtle volumetric differences between PTSD and non-PTSD samples may not be revealed without WBV correction and high spatial resolution. However, studies that used these methods also had significantly fewer females, which suggests that gender may have moderated these effects. Meta-analyses of studies that used the alveus/ fornix and superior colliculi/basilar artery to determine hippocampal volume found significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal volume in PTSD compared to HC, whereas the meta-analyses of studies that used the mammillary bodies/fornix as boundaries were not significant. However, samples were heterogeneous, and there was a trend for studies that used the alveus/fornix and superior colliculi/ basilar artery as boundaries to have a greater proportion of adults. Meta-analyses of studies comparing PTSD vs. non-PTSD were not significant, regardless of the anatomical boundaries used, but samples were heterogeneous. The overall results point towards the moderating effects of methodology, but we were unable to definitively parse these from the effects of gender and age, which were examined (along with other potential moderators) below.

# 3.3. Analyses 3: moderator analyses, sample-related variables

Appendix A describes sample characteristics for each study. We first present the cluster analyses of all studies, followed by the meta-analyses of volumetric differences. This is followed by tests of group differences in potential moderators and meta-analyses of volumetric differences in clusters that were homogenous for a particular moderator variable.

#### 3.3.1. Disjoint cluster analysis of all studies

3.3.1.1. PTSD vs. HC. Right hippocampal volume: Disjoint cluster analysis identified three homogenous clusters. Cluster 1 consisted of seven studies (#2, 4, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17 in Appendix A), N = 355. All but one study (#9) reported PTSD severity level, which was in the moderate range for all six studies (e.g.  $CAPS^2 = 40-60$ ). Three studies had pediatric samples and four had samples of young adult (24-40 year-old) females. As shown in Table 2, the metaanalysis found no significant groups difference in hippocampal volume. Cluster 2 consisted of six studies (#1, 8,14, 25, 26, 35), N = 184. Five had male (#8, 25) or mixed gender (#1, 26, 35) samples; one used a sample of females (#14). As shown in Table 2, the meta-analysis found significantly smaller right hippocampal volume in persons with PTSD, with a moderate ES. Cluster 3 consisted of two studies (#3, 15), N = 23, with older male combat veterans with severe PTSD (e.g.  $CAPS^3 > 60$ ). As shown in Table 2, the meta-analysis for Cluster 3 found significantly smaller right hippocampal volumes in persons with PTSD, with a large ES.

Left hippocampal volume: Disjoint cluster analysis also identified three homogenous clusters. Cluster 1 consisted of six of the seven studies (all but #12) that were in Cluster 1 for analyses of the right side of hippocampus, N = 327. The meta-analysis did not find significant group differences in hippocampal volume. Cluster 2 consisted of all six studies that were in Cluster 2 for analyses of the right side of hippocampus, plus Study #12, N = 212. As shown in Table 2, the meta-analyses found significantly smaller hippocampal volumes in PTSD, with a medium ES. Cluster 3 was the same as that for analyses of the right side of hippocampus. The meta-analysis again found significantly smaller hippocampal volume in PTSD, with a large ES as shown in Table 2.

3.3.1.2. PTSD vs. non-PTSD. Right hippocampal volume: Disjoint cluster analysis identified two homogenous clusters. Cluster 1 consisted of seven studies (# 2, 4, 5, 10, 18, 25, 35 in Appendix A), N = 264, with moderate levels of PTSD. The meta-analysis did not find significant differences in hippocampal volume. Cluster 2 consisted of five studies (# 6, 7, 13, 14, 15), N = 115, of unmedicated samples with severe PTSD. As shown in Table 2, this meta-analysis revealed significantly reduced hippocampal volumes in PTSD, with medium ES. Left hippocampal volume: Disjoint cluster analysis also identified two homogenous clusters. Cluster 1 consisted of six of the seven studies (all but # 18) that were in Cluster 1 for analyses of the right side of hippocampus, N = 197, and the meta-analyses was did not find significant group differences. Cluster 2<sup>4</sup> consisted of four of the five studies (all but # 15) that were in Cluster 2 for analyses of the right side of hippocampus, plus Study #18, N = 167. As shown in Table 2, this meta-analysis again found significantly smaller volumes in PTSD, with medium ES.

#### 3.3.2. Effects of moderator variables

#### 3.3.2.1. Age. Comparison of cluster differences:

Right hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: The clusters differed significantly in age, F(2, 12) = 8.04; p = .006. Posthoc tests revealed that Cluster 1 was significantly younger than Clusters 2 (p = .02) and 3 (p = .02), with no significant difference between Clusters 2 and 3. As shown in Fig. 2, age was negatively correlated with ES, r = -.74; p = .001. Results remained significant when studies with pediatric samples (# 11, 16, 17) were excluded, F(2,9) = 4.88; p = .04; r = -.64; p = .02).

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: Results were again significant, F(2, 12) = 5.96; p = .02. Again, post-hoc tests revealed that Cluster 1 was significantly younger than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Symptoms score from Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS (Blake et al., 1990).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In subsequent comparisons of between-cluster differences in moderator variables, one study (#15) that was included in Cluster 3 in the PTSD vs. HC analyses was included in Cluster 2 in the PTSD vs. non-PTSD analyses because it differed from other studies in Cluster 2 only by virtue of a larger ES.

Table 2 Meta-analysis of studies comparing hippocampal volume (PTSD vs. controls): homogenuos clusters and sociodemographical and clinical moderators

Side	Moderator/analysis	PTSD vs.	k	Ν	r <sub>w</sub>	$CI_w$		τ	$\chi^2$	Orwin's fail safe N
PTSD vs.	healthy controls (no tra	uma)								
Right	CA/all studies	HC: Cluster 1	7	355	07	17	.04	0	3.72	-5
		HC: Cluster 2	6	184	$42^{a}$	54	29	0	1.79	7
		HC: Cluster 3	2	23	$83^{a}$	93	60	0	.09	6
	Age	HC, Age 40–55	7	183	46 <sup>a</sup>	62	25	.05 <sup>b</sup>	12.63 <sup>b</sup>	9
		HC, Age 40-55 1	5	160	$33^{a}$	47	18	0	2.02	3
		HC, Age 40–55 2	2	23	$83^{a}$	93	60	0	.10	6
	PTSD severity	Moderate PTSD vs. HC	5	160	$22^{a}$	37	06	0	1.22	1
		Severe PTSD vs. HC	6	151	$55^{a}$	70	36	.04 <sup>b</sup>	9.27 <sup>b</sup>	11
		Severe PTSD vs. HC 1	4	128	$44^{a}$	57	28	0	1.72	5
		Severe PTSD vs. HC 2	2	23	$83^{a}$	93	60	0	.10	6
	Gender	Male	4	101	$59^{a}$	80	25	.21 <sup>b</sup>	9.45 <sup>b</sup>	8
		Male 1	2	23	83 <sup>a</sup>	93	60	0	.10	6
		Male 2	2	78	$34^{a}$	53	13	0	.18	1
		Mixed adult	3	85	$46^{a}$	62	27	0	.22	4
	Time since trauma	Time since trauma: >10 yrs	8	239	$41^{a}$	58	20	.07 <sup>b</sup>	19.19 <sup>b</sup>	8
		Time since trauma: $>10$ yrs 1	3	44	73 <sup>a</sup>	87	48	0	2.68	8
		Time since trauma: $>10$ yrs 2	5	195	$25^{a}$	40	10	0	4.65	1
Left	CA/all studies	HC: Cluster 1	6	327	07	18	.04	0	3.43	-4
		HC: Cluster 2	7	212	$37^{a}$	48	24	0	1.69	6
		HC: Cluster 3	2	23	$88^{a}$	95	70	0	.19	7
	Age	HC, Age 20–39	5	162	$27^{a}$	41	12	0	3.00	2
	5	HC, Age 40–55	7	183	$49^{a}$	68	24	.10 <sup>b</sup>	19.50 <sup>b</sup>	10
		HC, Age 40–55 1	5	160	31 <sup>a</sup>	45	15	0	2.94	3
		HC, Age 40–55 2	2	23	$88^{a}$	95	70	0	.19	7
	PTSD Severity	Moderate PTSD vs. HC	5	160	$20^{a}$	36	03	0	4.50	0
	2	Severe PTSD vs. HC	6	151	55 <sup>a</sup>	75	26	.13 <sup>b</sup>	18.16 <sup>b</sup>	10
		Severe PTSD vs. HC 1	4	128	33 <sup>a</sup>	48	16	.01	2.85	3
		Severe PTSD vs. HC 2	2	23	$88^{a}$	95	70	0	.19	7
	Gender	Male	4	101	$59^{a}$	85	09	.29 <sup>b</sup>	19.07 <sup>b</sup>	8
		Male 1 3,15	2	23	$88^{a}$	95	70	0	.19	7
		Female	5	159	$28^{a}$	42	12	0	3.43	2
		Mixed adults	3	85	$40^{a}$	57	20	0	.34	3
	Time since trauma	Time since trauma: > 10 vrs	8	239	$44^{a}$	62	22	.08 <sup>b</sup>	21.68 <sup>b</sup>	10
		Time since trauma: $> 102$	6	216	$29^{a}$	41	16	0	4.16	3
		Time since trauma: >10 1	2	23	$88^{a}$	95	70	0	.19	7
PTSD vs.	non-PTSD (exposed to	index trauma)								
Right	CA/all studies	Non-PTSD: Cluster 1	7	264	001	13	.13	0	5.26	-7
		Non-PTSD: Cluster 2	5	115	$42^{a}$	57	25	0	1.91	6
	PTSD Severity	Severe PTSD vs. Non-PTSD	4	109	$37^{a}$	55	17	0	3.64	3
	Medication	Medication no	6	132	$25^{a}$	52	07	.11 <sup>b</sup>	15.26 <sup>b</sup>	3
		Medication no 1	4	80	45 <sup>a</sup>	62	25	0	1.57	5
		Medication yes	2	71	.02	22	.26	0	.72	-2
Left	CA/all studies	Non-PTSD: Cluster 1	6	197	.03	11	.18	0	1.20	-5
	,	Non-PTSD: Cluster 2	5	167	34 <sup>a</sup>	48	20	0	2.09	4
	Medication	Medication no	6	132	$40^{a}$	67	04	.18 <sup>b</sup>	21.86 <sup>b</sup>	7
		Medication no 1	3	65	$46^{a}$	64	23	0	3.80	4
		Medication yes	2	71	.03	21	.27	0	.59	-2

Note: k = number of studies,  $r_w =$  weighted r,  $CI_w = 95\%$  confidence interval for weighted r,  $\tau^2 =$  between trials variance;  $\chi^2 =$  within trials variance; non-PTSD trauma exposed refers to those exposed to the study index trauma, but without PTSD.

 $a^{a}p < .05.$ <sup>b</sup>Heterogeneity.

Cluster 3 (p = .03) and there was a trend for it to be younger than Cluster 2 (p = .05); with no significant difference between Clusters 2 and 3. As shown in Fig. 2, age was significantly correlated with ES (r = -.74;p = .002). However, group differences were no longer significant when studies with pediatric samples (# 11, 16, 17) were excluded, and the correlation between age and ES dropped to a trend (r = -.57; p = .05).

PTSD vs. non-PTSD: There were no significant betweencluster differences in age, and age was not correlated with ES (right hippocampus, r = -.15; NS; left hippocampus, r = -.30; NS).

## Meta-analyses of homogenous groups:

Right hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: As shown in Table 2, the overall meta-analysis revealed sample heterogeneity. Disjoint cluster analysis identified two homogenous



Fig. 2. Relationship between mean age of the study subjects and (a) hippocampal volume ES for comparisons of PTSD vs. HC and (b) percentage of volume loss in PTSD as compared to HC as a function of trauma type (left panel: left hippocampal volume ES resp. percentage reduction, right panel: right hippocampal volume ES resp. percentage reduction). A negative percentage value indicates volume reduction, a positive value indicates increase.

subsets with older (40–55-year-old) adults: Group 1 (Studies # 1, 8, 9, 25, 26 in Appendix A), N = 160; and Group 2 (# 3, 15), N = 23. As shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2, meta-analyses found significantly smaller hippocampal volume in PTSD in all three groups, with medium to large ES.

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: Disjoint cluster analysis identified the same two homogenous subsets as for the right hippocampus, plus an additional third cluster of younger (20–39-year-old) adults (Studies # 2, 4, 12, 14, 35 in Appendix A), N = 162. Meta-analyses found significantly smaller hippocampal volumes in all three clusters, with a small ES for the younger adult cluster and medium to large ES for the other clusters.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:* Disjoint cluster analysis failed to identify age-homogenous groups due to the variability in ES across the studies.

# *3.3.2.2. Age of trauma exposure. Comparison of cluster differences:*

*Right hippocanal volume in PTSD vs. HC:* There was a trend ( $\chi^2(4) = 9.18$ ; p = .06) for cluster differences in the

age of trauma exposure (childhood vs. adulthood), suggesting a higher prevalence of childhood trauma in Cluster 1. The  $\chi^2$  comparing Cluster 1 vs. Clusters 2 and 3 combined was significant,  $\chi^2(2) = 8.11$ ; p = .02, but when studies with pediatric samples (#11, 16, 17) were excluded, the effect dropped to a trend  $\chi^2(2) = 4.77$ ; p = .09.

*Left hippocampal volume in PTSD vs. HC:*  $\chi^2$  tests were not significant.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:*  $\chi^2$  tests were not significant.

*Meta-analyses of homogenous groups*: Disjoint cluster analyses failed to identify homogenous groups for PTSD vs. HC or PTSD vs. Non-PTSD.

#### 3.3.2.3. Gender. Comparison of cluster differences:

Right hippocanal volume in PTSD vs. HC: The  $\chi^2$  comparing the three clusters found a trend,  $\chi^2(4) = 9.32$ ; p = .05, suggesting a lower prevalence of males in Cluster 1. The  $\chi^2$  comparing Cluster 1 to Clusters 2 and 3 combined also found a trend,  $\chi^2(2) = 5.76$ ; p = .06), but was significant when studies with pediatric samples (#11, 16, 17) were excluded,  $\chi^2(2) = 8.40$ ; p = .02.

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC:  $\chi^2$  tests were not significant.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:*  $\chi^2$  tests were not significant.

Meta-analyses of homogenous groups:

*Right hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC*: Disjoint cluster analysis identified three homogenous groups. Two groups were composed of male samples: Group 1 (# 3, 15), N = 23; and Group 2 (# 8, 25), N = 78. Group 4 had both males and females (# 1, 26, 35), N = 85. As shown in Table 2, meta-analyses for all four groups found significantly smaller hippocampal volumes in PTSD, with medium to large ES.

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: Disjoint cluster analysis also identified three homogenous groups. Two of these were the same as those for the right hippocampus: Groups 1 and 2, and the mixed gender group. The third group contained only females, (Studies # 9, 12, 14 in Appendix A), N = 159. As shown in Table 2, metaanalyses for all four groups found significantly smaller left hippocampal volumes in PTSD. The group with females had a small ES, whereas the other three groups again had medium to large ES. *PTSD* vs. *Non-PTSD:* Disjoint cluster analyses failed to identify homogenous groups for analyses.

3.3.2.4. PTSD severity. Comparison of cluster differences: Right hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: The clusters differed significantly in PTSD severity,  $\chi^2(4) = 11.10$ , p = .03: Cluster 1 had a lower prevalence of subjects with severe PTSD than Cluster 2 and Cluster 3, with no significant difference between Clusters 2 and 3. This effect remained significant when Clusters 2 and 3 were combined and compared to Cluster 1,  $\chi^2(2) = 10.18$ ; p = .006 and when studies with pediatric samples (#11, 16, 17) were excluded,  $\chi^2(2) = 6.60$ , p = .04.

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC:  $\chi^2$  tests were not significant.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:*  $\chi^2$  tests were not significant.

Meta-analyses of homogenous groups:

Right and left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: Disjoint cluster analysis identified three clusters for both sides of the hippocampus. One group had moderate PTSD, (Studies # 2, 4, 12, 25, 26 in Appendix A), N = 160; and the other two groups had severe PTSD, Group 1, (# 1, 8, 14, 35), N = 128; and Group 2 (# 3, 15), N = 23. As shown in Table 2, meta-analyses for all three groups revealed significantly smaller hippocampal volume in PTSD, with small ES (r = -.20, -.22) for the moderate PTSD group and medium to large ES for the other three groups.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD*: Disjoint cluster analysis identified one homogenous group with severe PTSD for the right side of the hippocampus only (Studies # 13, 14, 15, 35 in Appendix A), N = 109. As shown in Table 2, the meta-analysis found significantly smaller hippocampal volumes in persons with PTSD, with a medium ES. 3.3.2.5. PTSD duration. Comparison of cluster differences: There were no significant cluster differences in either PTSD vs. HC or PTSD vs. Non-PTSD.

Meta-analyses of homogenous groups:

*Right hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC*: Disjoint cluster analysis identified two groups, each of which contained samples that were at least 10 years post-exposure, Group 1 (Studies # 3, 14, 15), N = 44; Group 3 (# 4, 8, 9, 12, 35), N = 195.

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. HC: Disjoint cluster analysis identified two groups. Group 1 (# 4, 8, 9, 12, 35), N = 216 and Group 2 (# 3, 15), N = 23 also had samples that were at least 10 years post-exposure. As shown in Table 2, meta-analyses revealed significantly smaller hippocampal volumes bilaterally in each of the three groups.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:* Disjoint cluster analyses failed to identify homogenous groups for analyses.

3.3.2.6. Comorbid alcohol use and axis I disorders. As shown in Appendix A, few studies had samples that were not comorbid for one or more of these conditions, and some studies did not report comorbidity. For analyses of *PTSD vs. HC and non-PTSD*, there were no significant cluster differences in comorbid disorders, and disjoint cluster analyses failed to identify homogenous groups for further analyses.

3.3.2.7. Psychotropic medication. Comparison of cluster differences: There were no significant cluster differences in either PTSD vs. HC or PTSD vs. Non-PTSD.

Meta-analyses of homogenous groups:

*PTSD vs. HC*: Disjoint cluster analyses failed to identify homogenous groups for analyses.

Right hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. non-PTSD: Disjoint cluster analysis identified two groups, one with un-medicated samples (# 6, 7, 14, 15), N = 80; and one with medicated samples, (# 4, 5), N = 71.

Left hippocamal volume in PTSD vs. non-PTSD: Group 1 also contained un-medicated samples (Studies # 6, 7, 14 in Appendix A), N = 65. As shown in Table 2, meta-analyses revealed significantly smaller hippocampal volumes bilaterally only in groups with un-medicated samples, with non-significant findings for groups with medicated samples.

Summary: Meta-analyses comparing hippocampal volumes in PTSD vs. HC in the three clusters identified by disjoint cluster analysis found significantly smaller bilateral volumes in PTSD in Clusters 2 and 3 only. Cluster 1 differed from these two clusters in having less males and more samples with moderate levels of PTSD. Cluster differences in gender and PTSD severity were significant only for the right side of the hippocampus. The effects of gender and severity were confirmed in analyses of genderand severity-homogenous groups, with male and severe PTSD samples showing larger effect sizes (for both sides of the hippocampus) than mixed gender and moderate PTSD samples, respectively. However females with PTSD also showed significantly smaller volumes compared to HC, and findings for moderate PTSD samples were significant for both sides of the hippocampus. The findings suggest that gender and PTSD severity moderate volumes bilaterally, but that the effects are more pronounced in the right hemisphere.

Cluster 1 also had the youngest samples, and we found a significant negative correlation between age and effect sizes, indicating the largest group differences in volume for the oldest samples. Like gender and severity, the effects of age were stronger for the right side of the hippocampus. Meta-analyses of age-homogenous groups showed significantly smaller volumes bilaterally in samples at least 40 years old, and significantly smaller volumes of the left side for younger adults, with a smaller effect size than the older samples. However, because cluster analysis did not identify a homogenous young adult cluster for the right side of the hippocampus, smaller right-sided volumes in younger adults cannot be disconfirmed. We found no significant cluster differences in time since trauma, but the clusters were heterogenous. Because disjoint cluster analyses only identified PTSD duration-homogenous groups that were at least 10 years post-exposure, (each of which showed significantly smaller hippocampal volumes bilaterally in PTSD vs. HC), we cannot rule out significantly smaller volumes in less chronic PTSD. Age of trauma exposure did not appear to be a significant moderator. Cluster differences appeared to be more related to sample age rather than age of exposure, but we were unable to identify more homogenous clusters for additional analyses. We also found no significant cluster differences in medication and comorbid disorders, but again were unable to identify homogenous clusters for analyses.

In meta-analyses of PTSD vs. non-PTSD, the only significant moderators we identified were PTSD severity and medication use. Disjoint cluster analysis identified two clusters with apparent differences in these variables and

Table 3						
Metaanalyses	volume	of ot	ther	brain	structu	ires

significant volume reductions were found only in the cluster of un-medicated samples with severe PTSD. These effects were substantiated in meta-analyses of medicationand severity-homogenous groups. Although un-medicated samples showed significantly smaller hippocampal volumes bilaterally, meta-analyses with medicated groups were not significant. Disjoint cluster analysis identified one severity-homogenous group for the right side of the hippocampus only, which showed significantly smaller volumes in the PTSD group, with a smaller effect size than those of PTSD vs. HC analyses.

## 3.4. Analyses 3: structural abnormalities in other brain areas

## 3.4.1. Amydgala

Studies that reported amygdala volumes are shown in Appendix C. The meta-analysis of PTSD vs. HC included seven studies, (# 2, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 26 in Appendix C), N = 320 and the meta-analysis of PTSD vs. non-PTSD included six studies, (# 2, 7, 10, 13, 15, 24), N = 213. As shown in Table 3, meta-analyses of the right amygdala were not significant for PTSD vs. HC and non-PTSD. However, sample heterogeneity was significant for both of these analyses. Disjoint cluster analyses did not identify homogenous groups of studies for further analyses of PTSD vs. HC studies, but identified a homogenous group of three PTSD vs. non-PTSD studies (# 7, 10, 24), N = 141. As shown in Table 3, this meta-analysis was significant, with a small ES. Meta-analyses of the left amvgdala were significant for PTSD vs. both HC and non-PTSD, but sample heterogeneity was significant for both analyses. Disjoint cluster analyses identified a homogenous group of five PTSD vs. HC studies that excluded studies #15 and #17, N = 211 and a homogenous group of four PTSD vs. non-PTSD studies that excluded studies #2 and #15, N = 176. As shown in Table 3, meta-analyses of these homogenous groups found significantly smaller left amyg-

Brain structure	Side	PTSD compared with (type of control)	k	Ν	$r_w$	$CI_w$		τ	$\chi^2$	Orwin's fail safe N
Amygdala	Right	НС	7	320	07	21	.07	.01 <sup>b</sup>	8.20 <sup>b</sup>	-5
	Left	НС	7	320	14 <sup>a</sup>	26	004	.005	7.24 <sup>b</sup>	-2
		Ex 15 17	5	211	23 <sup>a</sup>	36	09	0	1.23	1
Amygdala	Right	Non-PTSD	6	213	05	22	.12	.01 <sup>b</sup>	6.78 <sup>b</sup>	-4
		Incl. 7,10, 24	3	141	$18^{a}$	34	01	0	.35	0
	Left	Non-PTSD	6	213	13	28	.03	.01 <sup>b</sup>	6.32 <sup>b</sup>	-2
		Ex 2 15	4	176	$22^{a}$	36	07	0	.29	0
Corpus callosum		НС	3	221	$29^{a}$	41	16	0	.22	1
Caudate		HC	4	281	06	17	.06	0	2.94	-3
ACC		Non-PTSD	5	161	33 <sup>a</sup>	47	18	0	1.06	3
Prefrontal/frontal lobe		Mixed	2	223	$25^{a}$	37	12	0	.27	1
CSP		Mixed	2	63	11	35	.15	0	.21	-1

*Note:* k = number of studies,  $r_w =$  weighted r,  $CI_w = 95\%$  confidence interval for weighted r,  $\tau^2 =$  between trials variance;  $\chi^2 =$  within trials variance; non-PTSD trauma exposed refers to those exposed to the study index trauma, but without PTSD.

 $^{a}p < .05.$ 

<sup>b</sup>Heterogeneity, ACC = anterior cingulate cortex, CSP = cavum septum pellucidum.



Fig. 3. Amygdala volume effect sizes (ES) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for comparisons of PTSD and control groups, plotted per hemisphere (left panel: left hippocampal volume ES, right panel: right hippocampal volume ES) sorted by hippocampal ES starting from the highest negative ES (for Matsuoka et al., 2003 no hippocampal ES was available). Negative ESs indicate smaller amygdala volume in PTSD groups. Non-PTSD = exposed to index trauma, but no PTSD; HC = non-exposed healthy controls.

dala volumes in PTSD vs. both HC and non-PTSD, with a small ES that was further attenuated in the PTSD vs. non-PTSD analysis (See Fig. 3 for ES).

For studies that examined both hippocampal and amgdala volumes, (PTSD vs. HC: Studies # 2, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 26 in Appendix C, N = 320; PTSD vs. non-PTSD: Studies # 2, 7, 10, 13, 15 in Appendix C, N = 137), correlations between the right and left hippocampal volume reduction ES and right and left amygdala volume reduction ES were computed.

*PTSD vs. HC:* All four correlations were not significant, with the largest correlation found between left hippocampus and left amygdala, r = -.19, n.s.

*PTSD vs. non-PTSD:* All four correlations were also not significant. However, correlations were *negative* and the largest correlation was between the left hippocampus ES and right amygdala ES (r = -.60).

## 3.4.2. Other structures

The few volumetry studies of other brain structures in PTSD are shown in Appendix D. As shown in Table 3, for *PTSD vs. HC* meta-analyses were possible for the corpus callosum (CC), which included studies # 16, 17 (primarily children and adolescents) and #27 (adults) in Appendix D, N = 221; and the caudate (a basal ganglia structure), Studies # 8, 9, 16, 17, N = 281, mixed sample of children, adolescents, and adults). Meta-analyses revealed significantly smaller CC in the primarily pediatric sample with PTSD with a medium ES; see Fig. 4 for ES. There were no

group differences in caudate volumes. As shown in Table 3, for *PTSD vs. non-PTSD*, meta-analysis was possible only for the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), Studies # 28, 29, 34, 40 and 41 in Appendix D, N = 161 adults. The meta-analysis found significantly smaller ACC in PTSD, with a medium ES (Table 3 and Fig. 4). For prefrontal/frontal lobes and the cavum septum pellucidum (CSP), meta-analyses comparing PTSD vs. a mixed control sample of HC and non-PTSD were possible. For the prefrontal/frontal lobes, the meta-analysis of two studies (# 16, 17, 31 in Appendix D, N = 223 children and adolescents) revealed significantly smaller volumes in PTSD, with a small ES (Table 3 and Fig. 4). The meta-analysis of the CSP (# 32, 33, N = 63, mixed sample of adults and children) revealed no significant effects.

Summary: Meta-analyses revealed significantly smaller left amygdala volumes in PTSD compared to both traumaexposed and unexposed controls (in a homogenous subsample), with small effect sizes. For the right amygdala, the meta-analysis was not significant for heterogeneous samples of PTSD vs. HC and non-PTSD studies. We could not identify a homogenous subsample of PTSD vs. HC studies, but found significantly smaller right anygdala volumes in PTSD vs. non-PTSD, in a homogenous subsample of studies. Correlations between effects sizes for hippocampal and amygdala volume reductions were not significant. However, the large (-.60) correlation between left amygdala and right hippocampus effects sizes suggests that this null finding may have been due to low



Fig. 4. Different brain structures' volume effect sizes (ES) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for comparisons of PTSD and control groups. Negative ESs indicate smaller volume in PTSD groups. Non-PTSD = exposed to index trauma, but no PTSD; HC = non-exposed healthy controls.

statistical power. Adults with PTSD also showed significantly smaller ACC compared to trauma-exposed controls, with a medium ES. In pediatric samples, children with PTSD showed significantly smaller CC compared to healthy controls, and significantly smaller prefrontal/ frontal lobe volumes compared to a mixed control group of healthy and trauma-exposed controls. There were no significant group differences in caudate volumes and CSP. Although effect sizes were smaller than those associated with differences in hippocampal volume, the results suggest that PTSD is accompanied by smaller volumes in multiple frontal lobe and limbic system structures.

## 4. Discussion

The main findings of the meta-analyses were as follows. Compared to control groups with no trauma exposure, samples with PTSD and trauma-exposed samples without PTSD showed significantly smaller hippocampal volume bilaterally. Compared to trauma-exposed controls, persons with PTSD reliably exhibited significantly smaller hippocampal volumes bilaterally only in samples with severe PTSD. MRI methodology differentially moderated results, depending upon the type of method and type of control group. Medication moderated the results of analyses with PTSD samples and trauma-exposed controls, whereas demographic variables such as age and gender were significant moderators in comparisons of PTSD samples and controls without trauma exposure.

Volumetric abnormalities were not restricted to the hippocampus. Compared to trauma-exposed controls, adults with PTSD showed significantly smaller anterior cingulate cortex, and in analyses with homogenous subsamples, significantly smaller amygdala volumes bilaterally. Adults with PTSD also had significantly smaller left amygdala volumes compared to non-exposed controls. Effect sizes were small and attenuated relative to those associated with hippocampal volumetric differences; and effects sizes associated with hippocampal and amygdala between-group volumetric differences were not reliably correlated with each other. Analysis of mainly pediatric samples showed significantly smaller corpus callosum and prefrontal/frontal lobe volumes compared to a mixed control group sample as well. There were no group differences in caudate and cavum septum pellucidum volumes, and meta-analyses of the cluster with the pediatric samples (Cluster 1) found no significant diagnostic group differences in hippocampal volumes.

#### 4.1. Methodological moderating variables

The most significant moderator we identified was type of correction method and level of spatial resolution. When comparing PTSD to trauma-exposed non-PTSD, significantly smaller bilateral hippocampal volumes were found only in those studies that used WBV correction and high spatial resolution. Because age and gender were not found to be significant moderators in PTSD vs. non-PTSD comparisons, this finding did not appear to be due to the moderating effects of these variables. Our results are consistent with the finding that applying a correction method to standardize volumetric estimates provides more reliable data than working with uncorrected sizes (Buckner et al., 2004; Free et al., 1995). However, our findings suggest that correction methods differ in their suitability, and that the common procedure of using controls matched according to height and weight, based on demonstrated correlations of these variables and brain size (Van Petten, 2004), may be less preferable than referencing based on whole brain volume (Peters et al., 1998; Raz et al., 2004). Methods that yield high spatial resolution are also recommended. An optimal voxel dimension should be homogenous and in the range of  $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$ , whereas slice thicknesses of 3 mm or greater may be less well suited for the estimation of small volumina like the hippocampus or amygdala.

The majority of studies we reviewed also used manual tracing in their MRI acquisition protocols rather than automated algorithms. Method variance can be introduced by the use of different software packages to trace the target structures (Geuze et al., 2005). Research also suggests that applying multiple methods may yield the most accurate results (Miyahira et al., 2004; Testa et al., 2004). Voxelbased morphometry (VBM) utilizes a voxel-wise comparison of multiple brain images (Ashburner and Friston, 2000) to analyze regional differences in grey matter density throughout the brain, with no a priori regions of interest (ROI). Miyahira et al. (2004) and Testa et al. (2004) found that a combination of VBM and ROI-based volumetry of the hippocampus was the most reliable method for the identification of brain atrophy. VBM may have greater utility in estimating whole brain volumes for correction standardization, while software-based ROI analysis of experienced neuroanatoms may be preferable for the calculation of small volumina (Miyahira et al., 2004; Testa et al., 2004).

We also found that the type of anatomical boundaries employed to determine hippocampal volume moderated the results in comparisons of persons with PTSD and controls not exposed to trauma, but did not moderate the results of meta-analyses of PTSD groups compared to trauma-exposed controls. Results were significant for the samples of studies that used the alveus/fornix and superior colliculi/basilar artery as boundaries, but non-significant for the sample that used the mammillary bodies/fornix as boundaries. Although we found only a trend for studies that used the alveus/fornix and superior colliculi/basilar artery to have more adults, because age and gender were identified as significant moderators in comparisons of PTSD and non-exposed controls, these findings should be interpreted with some caution.

However, the results suggest that techniques used to delineate boundaries may be a source of method variance in volumetric research. This may be especially important if hippocampal volumetric abnormalities in PTSD are found only in specific regions of the hippocampus, such as the head and the tail (Vythilingam et al., 2005).

A related issue in hippocampal volumetry is the exact separation of the amygdala from the hippocampus, which is especially problematic when two-dimensional analysis software programs are used, due to a lateral shifting of these structures. The majority of studies we reviewed determined hippocampal volume in contiguous coronal slices. However, it is preferable to employ all three spatial dimensions and cross-validate the segmentation in different planes (Bartzokis et al., 1998, 1993; Pruessner et al., 2000). The use of 3D software programs (e.g. MEASURE, AMIRA, ANALYZE) that allow the simultaneous employment of sagittal, coronal and transverse images for visualization and segmentation of structures, especially those with tilted and shifted demarcation, is also recommended. An exact reformatting (Winter and Irle, 2004) may also be preferable because Bartzokis et al. (1993, 1998) found that reformatted 3D images showed significantly less scan-rescan variability than non-reformatted images. Although such variability does not necessarily affect volume estimates, it is crucial for estimating sample sizes needed when designing studies and should also be taken into account when comparing results from different research groups (Pruessner et al., 2000).

In summary, the overall results of our analyses of methodological moderators highlight the need for standardization in volumetry methods. Our findings suggest that method variance has contributed to some of the inconsistent findings in PTSD neuroimaging research. Standardized protocols, continued technological refinements, and combining structural and functional neuroimaging techniques might help to further elucidate the nature of abnormalities in the hippocampus as well as other brain regions in PTSD.

#### 4.2. Sample-related moderating variables

Between-group volumetric differences and effect sizes varied according to whether control groups were exposed to trauma or not, with the largest effect sizes for bilateral differences found in comparisons of PTSD and non-exposed controls. For comparisons of PTSD and trauma-exposed persons without PTSD, severity was an important moderator. Group differences reliably emerged only in samples with severe PTSD, with medium effect sizes. However, although the largest effect sizes are associated with severe PTSD, we also found that, compared to unexposed controls, trauma-exposed persons without PTSD also showed smaller right-sided volumes with a medium effect size, and smaller left-sided volumes with a small effect size. Therefore the overall findings suggest that either (1) trauma-exposed populations, regardless of diagnostic status, may have smaller premorbid hippocampal volumes relative to unexposed samples, with the smallest volumes associated with the most severe PTSD; or that (2) regardless of diagnostic status, trauma exposure itself is associated with reduced hippocampal volume, but that the volume reductions occur along a PTSD severity continuum.

The volumetric differences between samples with severe PTSD and trauma-exposed controls could also reflect the deleterious long-term effects of severe PTSD itself. This interpretation is concordant with our finding of significant between-group volumetric differences only in samples that were not taking psychotropic medication. We previously found that differential activity of cortical neurons in PTSD (as measured by evoked potentials) was less pronounced in medicated samples (Karl et al., 2006). Vermetten and colleagues (2003) found that long-term administration of antidepressant medication was associated with increased hippocampal volume as well as improvements in PTSD symptoms and memory performance, and suggested that such treatment effects seemed unlikely if smaller hippocampal volumes in PTSD were due solely to genetic factors. However, their results (and ours) do not rule out the possibility that chronic antidepressant administration could compensate for some sort of congenital biochemical abnormality that moderates hippocampal volume in adulthood. Moreover, combat veterans and sexually abused adult females with PTSD exhibit greater premorbid histories of neurodevelopmental abnormalties such as attention deficits and hyperactivity, learning problems, and enuresis compared to trauma-exposed controls without PTSD, which points towards premorbid differences between these two groups (Gurvits et al., 1993, 2000). The answer to whether smaller hippocampal volume is a result of premorbid vulnerabilities or their interaction with the effects of singular and/or cumulative trauma exposure awaits further research. However, the association between medication use and hippocampal volume underscores the plastictity and dynamic nature of brain morphology.

Our finding that age and gender were significant moderators only for comparisons of persons with PTSD and non-exposed controls supports the hypothesis of premorbid differences in these populations. However, because these variables did not appear to moderate the results of comparisons with trauma-exposed controls, the overall results again suggest that if group differences are premorbid, then they appear to be found in traumaexposed samples regardless of diagnostic status. Vythilingam and associates (2005) found no hippocampal volumetric differences in combat-exposed and non-exposed veterans with and without PTSD and non-deployed reservists, but found that non-exposed controls (recruited from a university town setting) had significantly larger hippocampal volumes and higher full scale IQs than all three military groups. The three military groups also more closely resembled each other in history of depression, alcohol abuse, and early life traumatic events. Familial depression, history of conduct disorder, and history of substance dependence have also been associated with an increased risk of trauma exposure in veteran samples (Koenen et al., 2002).

We also found that age was negatively correlated with ES in comparisons of PTSD samples with unexposed (but not trauma-exposed) controls. Vythilingam and associates (2005) found PTSD-related volumetric abnormalities primarily in the head and the tail, the same regions associated with age-related atrophy (Pruessner et al., 2001). Pruessner et al. (2001) also found that hippocampal volumes were related to age in middle-aged males but not females; and we found that volumetric differences were less pronounced in younger female subjects. These findings are consistent with research demonstrating that estrogen protects the hippocampus from age-related atrophy (e.g., Eberling et al., 2003).

McEwen (2001) has suggested that genetic predispositions and early adverse events may interact to influence hippocampal volume changes that emerge later in life as a result of the cumulative effects of ongoing neural and endocrine activity and life experiences. Chronic dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis (HPA) can lead to subsequent dysfunction in dependent systems, including disinhibition of inflammatory mechanisms of the immune system (McEwen and Stellar, 1993). Both chronic stress and peripheral chronic inflammation have been associated with down-regulation of hippocampal BDNF expression (Duric and McCarson, 2005). Inflammatory mediators also foster atherosclerotic processes in blood vessels (Ross, 1999). Wiseman et al. (2004), who found associations between chronic hypertension and smaller hippocampal volumes and brain-wide white matter lesions, and between abnormal diastolic blood pressure and volumetric alterations in hippocampus and amygdala, has suggested that nitric oxide-mediated cell damage could be one of the mechanisms involved in volumetric changes. In addition to our findings of smaller volumes in the hippocampus and amygdala, PTSD has also been associated with increased white matter lesions (Canive et al., 1997) and decreased white matter volumes (Villarreal et al., 2002) as well as alterations in the immune system (Rohleder et al., 2004) and cardiovascular functioning (Buckley and Kaloupek, 2001). The overall findings suggest a confluence of HPA axis-related changes in central and peripheral systems that may interact to influence age-related volumetric changes. Future longitudinal studies should investigate whether the relationship between altered central and peripheral immune system functioning in traumatized persons with and without PTSD is associated with greater age-related volumetric reductions in these populations.

We did not identify any additional moderating variables. Age of trauma exposure was not a reliable moderator, which may reflect the similarity of volumetric differences of adults with PTSD secondary to childhood abuse and combat veterans, the two most common types of adult trauma samples. It may also reflect the lack of significant hippocampal volumetric differences in the cluster with pediatric samples (Cluster 1) with PTSD. Meta-analyses of homogenous groups at least ten year post-trauma found significantly smaller volumes in persons with PTSD compared to non-exposed controls. However, because sample heterogeneity precluded analyses of homogenous groups with fewer years postexposure, we cannot rule out significant volumetric differences in trauma populations with less chronic PTSD. Comorbid disorders (including alcohol) did not emerge as significant moderators, but again we were unable to identify homogenous groups for further analyses.

## 4.3. Implications for future research

The finding that trauma-exposed persons with and without PTSD show smaller hippocampal volumes relative to unexposed control samples suggests the presence of premorbid vulnerabilities that appear to be more related to trauma exposure than diagnostic status, and also underscores the need to utilize well-match comparison samples in PSTD research. However, these findings could also reflect that regardless of diagnostic status, trauma exposure may be associated with some reduction in hippocampal volumes, with the smallest volumes associated with the greatest risk of PTSD following exposure. The findings of volumetric differences between persons with PTSD and trauma-exposed controls, as well as the moderating effects of PTSD severity, also suggest that volumetric differences occur along a PTSD severity continuum. Considered along with the finding of a moderating effect of medication on volumetric differences in comparisons of persons with PTSD and trauma-exposed controls, the possibility also remains that volumentric differences could occur as a result of the progressive effects of chronic PTSD. Our analyses were not designed to test the hypothesis that several factors could interact to produce the volumetric differences found in trauma-exposed persons with and without PTSD, including premorbid morphology (Gilbertson et al., 2002), severity of trauma exposure (Bremner et al., 1997; Gurvits et al., 1996; Winter and Irle, 2004), and the cumulative effects of lifetime trauma exposure and chronic stress (e.g., the effects of "allostatic load," see McEwen, 1998; McEwen and Stellar, 1993) which is a known risk factor for PTSD (e.g. Neuner et al., 2004). However, a variety of future research designs could help to tease apart the influence of these factors: twin and familial volumetric studies; behavioral genetic research; treatment research that examines changes in brain morphology and functioning; and longitudinal research. The finding of differential volumetric abnormalities in pediatric and adult samples highlights the need for long-term studies that follow trauma-exposed pediatric samples through adulthood.

Another important research objective is to understand the relationship between structural abnormalities and PTSD symptomology. PTSD severity has been positively correlated with hippocampal volume in some studies (Bremner et al., 2003a; Gilbertson et al., 2002; Gurvits et al., 1996; Winter and Irle, 2004) but not in others (Bonne et al., 2001; Bremner et al., 1995; Pederson et al., 2004; Schuff et al., 2001; Wignall et al., 2004). PTSD reexperiencing symptoms were correlated with hippocampal volume in two studies (Lindauer et al., 2004; Villarreal et al., 2002), but not in a third (Nakano et al., 2002). There are two reports of significant correlations between symptoms of dissociation and left hippocampal volume (Bremner et al., 2003a; Stein et al., 1997), and no findings of a relationship between hippocampal volume and avoidance, numbing, or hyperarousal symptoms.

Research has also not consistently found significant correlations between hippocampal volume and memory impairment in PTSD. Of eight studies that have examined this, two found significant (positive) correlations (Bremner et al., 1995; Gurvits et al., 1996), but the rest found non-significant results (Nakano et al., 2002; Neylan et al., 2004a; Pederson et al., 2004; Stein et al., 1997; Winter and Irle, 2004). Although Vermetten et al. (2003) found improvement in PTSD symptoms as well as verbal memory performance, neither of these were correlated with volumetric increases. In functional neuroimaging studies, memory performance has not been associated with either differential hippocampal volume or activity (Bremner et al., 2003b; Shin et al., 2004b). The inconsistent results resemble those of research with general population samples, leading some to question the relationship between hippocampal volume and performance (Van Petten, 2004). Animal research (Zola and Squire, 2001) suggests that a certain threshold of reduction may be necessary in order to observe impairment; the two studies that found significant volume-performance correlations reported volume reductions of 8% (Bremner et al., 1995) and 26% (Gurvits et al., 1996). It is also important to note that memory is not localized and appears to involve interactions between several medial temporal structures and the neocortex (Aggleton and Brown, 1999), with greater deficits associated with more extensive medial temporal lobe damage (Rempel-Clower et al., 1996). Moreover, some authors have suggested that apparent memory deficits in PTSD may be due to impairment in attention and concentration and possible frontal lobe, rather than hippocampal, dysfunction (Matsuo et al., 2003; Vasterling et al., 1998, 2002).

Our findings of reduced volumes in the amygdala also suggest the need to consider the role of abnormalities in other brain regions in PTSD. Individual PTSD amygdala volumetric studies have typically reported null findings (e.g., Bonne et al., 2001; Bremner et al., 1997; Fennema-Notestine et al., 2002; Gurvits et al., 1996; Wignall et al., 2004), which could be due to a combination of small effect sizes and small samples, as well as difficulty in differentiating the hippocampus and amgdala (discussed above). However, the role of amygdala volume change in psychiatric disorders is not well understood. Early onset depression has been associated with enlargement, (Lange and Irle, 2004), whereas reduced, or non-altered volume has been associated with chronic depression (Sheline et al., 1998). Thus, our findings of reduced volumes could reflect PTSD chronicity. However, Lange and Irle (2004) also found smaller hippocampi in the presence of enlarged amygdala in depression, whereas in our analyses volume reduction effect sizes for these structures were not significantly correlated. Therefore common effects across the disorders should not be assumed. Given the small effect sizes, future PTSD studies with adequate sample sizes are clearly needed to more fully explore whether amygdala

volumetric abnormalities are reliably associated with PTSD.

Our findings of altered volumes in the amygdala, anterior cingulated cortex, and prefrontal cortical regions are consistent with the results of functional neuroimaging research that has found altered activity in these regions in persons with PTSD (e.g., Bremner et al., 1999a, 2003a, 2004; Clark et al., 2003; Matsuo et al., 2003; Rauch et al., 1996; Shin et al., 1999, 2004a, 2001). The results are also consistent with reports of increased neurodevelopmental abnormalities and neurological "soft signs" in persons with PTSD (Gurvits et al., 1993, 2000). The hippocampus may have a key role in the neuropathology of PTSD, because of its importance in stress regulation, its proximity to the amygdala, and its manifold neuronal connection to frontal and parietal association cortices. However, the collected findings suggest that PTSD is a condition that may be associated with altered structure and function in several brain regions rather than focal abnormalities in one selected brain area. Because abnormalities in similar brain regions have been reported for other disorders such as depression (e.g., Campbell et al., 2004; Lange and Irle, 2004; Sheline et al., 1998; Videbech and Ravnkilde, 2004), a question of continued importance is whether they represent distinct etiological factors that produce common morphological and functional changes across disorders, or a nonspecific predispositional factor.

## 4.4. Limitations

Although we attempted to be as inclusive as possible, this series of meta-analyses is limited by the relatively small number of studies included in some of the meta-analyses, and especially in some of the moderator analyses. As is the case with all meta-analyses, ours are limited by the "file drawer<sup>1</sup>" problem. Moreover, because most studies compared one group of subjects with PTSD to two types of control groups (trauma-exposed and non-exposed controls), sample generalizability may be limited. In particular, several studies used samples with very chronic PTSD, such as combat veterans or adult survivors of childhood abuse, and we were unable to examine homogenous samples with less chronic PTSD. In some instances we were unable to identify homogenous groups of studies for analyses of certain potential moderators (such as comorbidity) or analyze other potential moderators (such as handedness) due to sample characteristics. In addition, we were unable to definitely isolate the effects of MRI methodological moderators from sample-related moderators, and viceversa. A general disadvantage of meta-analyses is the necessity to categorize and quantify variables, which may lead to a neglect of the qualitative aspects of individual studies.

### 4.5. Conclusions

The results of our study provide reliable evidence that: (1) trauma exposure (regardless of diagnostic status) is associated with smaller hippocampal volumes that appear to be moderated by age and gender; (2) in comparisons with trauma exposed controls, severe PTSD in unmedicated, adult samples is associated with smaller hippocampal volumes; (3) for all comparisons, effect sizes increase with PTSD severity: (4) volumetric differences are not restricted to the hippocampus; and (5) adults and minors exhibit different types of structural abnormalities. Our findings emphasize the need for: (1) methodological standardization and use of well-matched control samples; (2) further comparisons of trauma-exposed persons with PTSD and trauma-exposed controls, and comparisons of trauma-exposed persons without PTSD and unexposed controls to parse apart the effects of singular and cumulative trauma exposure from those of premorbid vulnerabilities; and (3) neurodevelopmental longitudinal research, with further examination of abnormalities in frontal-limbic system structures to clarify the role of structural and functional brain abnormalities in the etiology and/or maintenance of PTSD.

## Acknowledgements

Our current research on PTSD is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (KA1476/3). Denise Dörfel was awarded a scholarship by the G.A. Lienert Foundation.

## Appendix A

*Hippocampal volume studies*: Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table A1.

## Appendix B

See Table B1 for comparison of methodical aspects (MRI protocols, analysis software, correction algorithms, anatomical borderlines).

## Appendix C

Amygdala volume studies are shown in Table C1.

## Appendix D

Volume studies of other brain areas are shown in Table D1.

Study	Included in MA	Age	Handedness	Gender	PTSD	Control	Trauma type	Time since trauma	Axis1	Medication	Alcohol	PTSD severity
1 Villarreal et al. (2002)	P-HC	43.5	Mixed	$\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{M}$	12	10	Mixed	> 2 yrs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Severe
2 Fennema-Notestine et al. (2002	) P-HC, P-NPTSD, HC-NPTSD	34.8; 34.4; 35.4;	Mixed	Ц	11 11 17	17 11 11	IPV	NR	NR	No	No	Moderate
3 Hedges et al. (2003)	P-HC	54.4	NR	M	4	4	COM	> 10  yrs	οŊ	Yes	No	Severe
4 Pederson et al. (2004)	P-HC,	24.3	NR	Ĺ	17	17	CSA	> 10  yrs	Yes	Yes	NR	Moderate
	P-NPTSD, HC-NPTSD	25.8			17	17		·				
		25.3			17	17						
5 Schuff et al. (2001)	P-NPTSD	51.5	NR	Μ	18	19	COM	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
6 Shin et al. (2004b)	P-NPTSD	47	Right	F/M	8	7	FF	NR	Yes	No	NR	Moderate
7 Lindauer et al. (2004)	P-NPTSD	36.2	NR	F/M	14	14	POL	NR	Yes	No	NR	Moderate
8 Brenner et al. (1995)	P-HC	45.2	Mixed	M	26	22	COM	>10 yrs	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
9 Brenner et al. (1997)	P-HC	40.2	Mixed	Ц	17	17	CSA	$> 10 \mathrm{yrs}$	Yes	NR	Yes	NR
10 Bonne et al. (2001)	P-NPTSD	NR	NR	F/M	10	27	NR	0-2 yrs	NR	NR	NR	Moderate
11 De Bellis et al. (2001)	P-HC	13.1	NR	F/M	6	6	CM	>2 yrs	Yes	Yes	No	NR
12 Stein et al. (1997)	P-HC	31.1	Mixed	Ц	21	21	CSA	>10 yrs	NR	NR	NR	Moderate
13 Gilbertson et al. (2002)	P-NPTSD	52.4	NR	Μ	12	23	COM	>10 yrs	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
14 Brenner et al. (2003a)	P-HC,	36.5	Right	Ц	10	11	CSA	>10 yrs	Yes	No	No	Severe
	P-NPTSD, HC-NPTSD	33.5			10	12						
		35			12	11						
15 Gurvits et al. (1996)	P-HC,	41.25	Mixed	М	7	8	COM	$> 10 \mathrm{yrs}$	Yes	No	No	Severe
	P-NPTSD, HC-NPTSD	46			7	7						
		42.8			7	8						
16 De Bellis et al. (1999)	P-HC	12.1	Mixed	F/M	44	61	CM	> 2 yrs	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR
17 De Bellis et al. (2002)	P-HC	11.53	Mixed	F/M	28	99	CM	>2 yrs	Yes	No	NR	NR
18 Nakano et al. (2002)	P-NPTSD	48.5	NR	Ц	28	39	CAN	>2 yrs	Yes	NR	NR	Only Re-experiencing
19 Winter and Irle (2004)	P-HC,	41.50	NR	Μ	15	15	BS	< 2 yrs.	No	No	No	Moderate
	P-NPTSD, HC-NPTSD	41.50			15	15						
		41.5			15	15						
20 Wignall et al. (2004)	P-HC	43.00	Mixed	F/M	15	11	Acc	< 2 yrs.	No	No	NR	Moderate
21 Vythilingam et al. (2005)	P-HC,	34.5	Mixed	F/M	14	23	COM	>10 yrs	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
	P-NPTSD, HC-NPTSD	35.0			14	23						
		34.5			23	23						
IPV = intimate nartner violence Ct	OM = combat CSA = chil	poodb	sexual abuse	$CM = c^{\dagger}$	ildhood	1 maltrea	tment BS = 1	= Acc =	= accide	int FF = fir	e fiøhters	POL = nolice officers
IPV = Intimate partner violence, $\cup$ NP - not renorted $\square O N$ - concer	OM = combat, $CAA = cum and compared with the compared of t$	dhoou re of w	sexual abuse, PA — pois	CIM = CI		DTSD		DUFIN SULFVIVOES, ACC =			e lignicis.	POL = police ollicers,  Term DTSD

1021

Table A1

Table	; B1									
	Study	$B_0$ -strength sequence TE/TR (ms) resolution (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Manual/autom. post-processing software volume correction	Number of raters reliability intraclass-correl. IR = INTER/	Anatomical bound	aries				Additional method. Info/ comment
					Most anterior	Most posterior	Medial border	Lateral border	Inferior border	
-	Villarreal et al. (2002)	1,5 T General Electric (GE) T1- w. fast spoiled GRASS $6.9/17.7$ $1 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$	Manual tracing in sagittal, coronal and axial slices MEASURE (3D) yes (WBV)	2 0.98/NR	CSF in uncal recess of temporal horn or (when not visible) the alveus	When crura of fornices are seen in full profile	Mesial edge of temporal lobe	Temporal horn of lateral ventricle	Incl. subicular complex and uncal cleft with the border separating the subicular complex from the parahippo-	(Watson et al., 1992) Subicular complex, dentate gyrus, alveus and fimbria included
7	Fennema- Notestine et al. (2002)	1,5T G E T1-w. spoiled GRASS 5/24 NR×NR×4	Semi-automated tissue segmentation manual ROI analysis in coronal slices NR	2 0.85-0.99/NR	Where temporal pole is separated from frontal lobe by lateral sulcus	Fornix	NR	NR	NR NR	Subiculum included Slice thickness of 4 mm
ς	Hedges et al. (2003)	1,5 T GE Dual spin echo technique NR 1 × 1 × 1	ROL- segmentation- based routines in coronal and sagittal slices ANALYZE (3D) Yes (WBV)	2 > 0.9/NR	Anterior aspect of the hippocampus or uncal recess separating amygdala from hippocampus	Two of four criteria: presence of superior colliculi, presence of medial pulvinar, visibility of oblong position of hippocampus at crura of fornices, presence of a distinct separation of the temporal horn from atria	Anterior choroidal artery or point at which boundaries of ambient cistern/ choroidal fissure are most readily identified	Medial wall of the temoral horn	и И И	(Bigler et al., 1997)
4	Pederson et al. (2004)	1.5 T Siemens T1-weighted sequence $1 \times 1 \times 1$	Manual tracing in sagittal slices 2D yes (body- height)	1 (3 times) NR	White matter lamina or implicit curve of hippocampal head	N	CSF from temporal horn	CSF of lateral ventricle and parahippo- campal gyrus	NR	correction of brain size with body height (–), only sagittal slices for volumetry
Ś	Schuff et al. (2001)	1.5 T Siemens T1-w double spin echo MPRAGE $4/10 1 \times 1 \times 1.4$	Manual tracing in sagittal, coronal and axial slices MEASURE (3D) yes (WBV)	2 0.98/NR	CSF in uncal recess of temporal horn or (when not visible) the alveus	When crura of fornices are seen in full profile	Mesial edge of temporal lobe	Temporal horn of lateral ventricle	Incl. subicular complex and uncal cleft with the border separating the subicular complex from the	(Watson et al., 1992) Subicular complex, dentate gyrus, alveus and fimbria included

									parahippo- campal gyrus	
	Shin et al. (2004b)	1.5 T Siemens 3D MPRAGE 3/7.25 1 × 1 × 1.3	Semi-automated gray matter- white matter- segmentation of hippocampus manual tracing in	NR	Lateral ventricle tip of temporal horn	Segmented as a continuous gray matter mass in the primary segmental formix	Segmented as a continuous gray matter	Segmented as a continuous gray matter	Segmented as a continuous gray matter	Excluding parahippocampal gyrus (Makris et al., 1999)
6	Lindauer et al. (2004)	1.5T Siemens 3D MPRAGE $4/7.4$ $1 \times 1 \times 1$	coronal slices NR Manual tracing in coronal slices (HC) MRICRO FAST (brain extraction tool) for WB Yes (WRV)	2 0.96/ 0.95 (left HC) 0.98/ 0.96 (right HC)	When oval shape of mammillary bodies was first visible	When fornix was visible as a continuous tract	NR	X	NR	Border of hippocampus defined by its gray matter
~	Bremner et al. (1995)	1,5 T GE T1-w spoiled GRASS 5/25 0.6 × 0.6 × 3	Manual tracing in coronal slices MIND yes (body-height)	2 0.78/0.75 (HC mean)	First slice anterior to the superior colliculus	Proceed 5 contiguous 3 mm slices to bifurcation of basillary artery	Mesial edge of the temporal lobe	Temporal horn of the lateral ventricle	Incl. subicular complex and uncal cleft	Only hippocampal body, slice thickness 3 mm (–) correction of brain size with
•	Bremner et al. (1997)	1,5 T GE T1-w spoiled GRASS 5/25 0.6 × 0.6 × 3	Manual tracing in coronal slices ROI analyze yes (body-height)	2 0.61/NR (left HC) 0.79/NR (right HC)	First slice anterior to the superior colliculus	Proceed 5 contiguous 3 mm slices to bifurcation of basillary artery	Mesial edge of the temporal lobe	Temporal horn of the lateral ventricle	Incl. subicular complex and uncal cleft	Only mergen (-) Only hippocampal body, slice thickness 3 mm (-) correction of brain size with
0	Bonne et al. (2001)	2.T Elscint Double -echo- sequence 30; $80/$ 3000 $0.9 \times 0.9 \times 1.5$	Semi-automated (clustering/ connectivity algorithm) manual tracing in coronal slices yes (WRV)	2 0.89/ NR (HC mean)	First appearance of mammillary bodies	Last appearance of fibers coursing crux of fornix,	NR	NR	NR	28 contiguous 1,5 mm slices (Gurvits et al., 1996)
_	De Bellis et al. (2001)	1,5 T GE 3D Tl- w spoiled GRASS 5/25 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.5	Manual tracing in coronal slices landmark method NR	2 0.98/ NR (left HC) 0.96/ NR (right HC)	Coronal slice containing the most anterior portions of the mammillary bodies	When fibers of fornix still visible	NR	NR	NR	incl. Cornu ammonis, dentate gyrus, subiculum correction of brain size (Giedd
0	Stein et al. (1997)	1,5 T Siemens T2-w Turbo Spinecho $90/4000$ $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 4$	Manual tracing in coronal slices ALLEGRO Yes (WBV)	1 (2x) NA/ 0.67 (left HC) NA/ 0.71 (right HC)	First slice posterior mammillary bodies	7 slices posterior up to fornix	NR	NR	NR	Standardized brain volume on first index slice slice thickness
ŝ	Gilbertson et al. (2002)	1,5 T GE 3D T1- w spoiled GRASS 5/35 0.9 × 0.9 × 1.5	Semi-automated (clustering/ connectivity algorithm)	2 0.96/ NR (right HC), 0.92/ NR (left HC)	White matter tract linking the temporal lobe with rest of brain	Slice in which fibers of fornix are still visible	NR	NR	NR	4 mm (-) Mammillary bodies used to separate amygdala and

1023

	Study	$B_0$ -strength sequence TE/TR (ms) resolution (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Manual/autom. post-processing software volume correction	Number of raters reliability intraclass-correl. IR = INTER/ IA - INTRA)	Anatomical bound	laries				Additional method. Info/ comment
					Most anterior	Most posterior	Medial border	Lateral border	Inferior border	
			manual tracing in coronal slices yes (WRV)							hippocampus (Shenton et al., 1907)
14	Brenner et al.	1,5T GE T1-w	Manual tracing	2 0.78/ 0.75 (HC	First slice	Proceed 5	Mesial edge of	Temporal horn of the lateral	Incl. subicular	Only bimocomnol
	(80002)	$5/25 0.6 \times 0.6 \times 3$	MIND yes	mean)	amenor to the superior	slices to		ventricle	comprex and uncal cleft	improcampar body, slice
			(body-height)		colliculus	bifurcation of basillarv arterv				thickness 3 mm (–) correction of
										brain size with
15	Gurvits et al.	1,5 T GE 3D T1-	S emi-automated	3 0.78/ NR (HC/	First appearance	Last appearance	NR	NR	NR	28 contiguous
	(9661)	w spoiled GRASS 5/35	(clustering/ connectivity	AG complex mean)	of mammillary bodies	of thers coursing crux of fornix,				1,5 mm slices mammillary
		0.9  imes 0.9  imes 1.5	algorithm)	x		×				bodies to divide
			manual tracing in coronal slices ves							hippocampal and amvødala
			(WBV)							complex
16	De Bellis et al.	1,5T GE 3D T1-	Semi-automated	2 0.99/NR (left	Coronal slice	When fibers of	NR	NR	NR	incl. cornu
	(1999)	w spoiled	(WB) manual	HC/AG	containing the	fornix still visible				ammonis,
		$0.9 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$	coronal slices	NR (right HC/	portions of the					uentate gyrus, subiculum
			IMAGE Yes	AG complex)	mammillary					correction of
			(WBV)		bodies					brain size ??
										(Giedd et al.,
17	De Rellis et al	1 5 T GE 3 D T1-	Manual tracing	2 0 06/ 0 08 (HC	Coronal elice	When fibers of	NR	NR	AN	1996) incl_cornu
-	(2002)	w spoiled	(HC) IMAGE	mean)	containing the	fornix still visible				ammonis,
	~	<b>GRASS</b> 5/25	Yes (WBV)	×	most anterior					dentate gyrus,
		0.9  imes 1.5  imes 1.5			portions of the					subiculum
					mammillary bodiae					correction of
					nonice					Giedd et al.
										1996)
18	Nakano et al.	1.5 T GE 3D-	Manual tracing	1 (2 times) NA/	Where white	Where	NR	NR	NR	CA, dentate
	(2002)	The spoiled	in coronal slices	0.97	alveus surrounds	hippocampus tail				gyrus, fimbria
		$0.8 \times 0.8 \times 1.5$	automated (WB)		hippocampal	the slice in which				subiculuit
			ANALYZE yes		head	crus of fornix is				
0			(age, IQ)		L.	longest				
I A	2004)	Uc squinted I c.1 T1-weighted	Manual tracing in coronal	1 NA/ 0.94 (HC mean)	Emergence of uncal recess and	Crus of formix (orav matter				1 an, boay and head of
	(1007	sequence 6/24	sagittal and		alveus (one	attached to TLV)				hippocampus
		$1 \times 1 \times 1.3$	horizontal slices							included: dentate

Table B1 (continued)

		reformatted to $1 \times 1 \times 1$	(HC) semiautomated (WB) CURRY (3D) Yes (WBV)		additional row of pixels anterior)					gyrus, CA, alveus, fimbria, fasciolar gyrus adjacent to CA region (Pruessner et al., 2000)
0	Wignall et al. (2004)	1,5 T Philips T1- w spoiled Gradientecho 4.4/15 1 × 1 × 1	Manual tracing in coronal slices ANALYZE (HC) SPM 99 (WB) Yes (WBV)	2 IR: 0.82/ 0.82 (mean HC)	When lighter band of cells forming alveus not distinguishable as border between hippocampus and amygdala	When crus of fornix was seen in continuity with the body of hippocampus and where hippocampus seen as distinct globular structure	NR	NR	Z	Hippocampus, subiculum and dentate gyrus
12	Vythilingam et al. (2005)	1.5T GE 3D SPGR 5/25 0.9 × 1.2 × 1.5	Manual tracing in coronal slices ANALYZE yes (body-height)	2 0.90/ NR (right HC), 0.80/ NR (left HC)	CSF in uncal recess of temporal horn or (when not visible) the alveus	3 mm anterior to where crura of fornix separated from hippocampus	Mesial edge of temporal lobe	CSF of temporal horn of lateral ventricle	white matter tracts	(Watson et al., 1992) gray matter of hippocampus proper, dentate gyrus, subicular complex, alveus and fimbria included

WB = whole brain, WBV = whole brain volume, HC = hippocampus, NR = not reported, NA = not applicable, CA = cornu ammonis.

	)					:	•				
C; PTSD	34.8 34.4	Mixed	ц	11	17 11	IPV	NR	NR	No	No	Moderate
PTSD	36.2	NR	F/M	14	14	POL	NR	Yes	No	NR	Moderate
·	40.2	Mixed	Ц	17	17	CSA	>10	Yes	NR	Yes	NR
PTSD	R	NR	F/M	10	27	COM	0-2	NR	NR	NR	Moderate
C	13.1	NR	F/M	6	6	CM	>2	Yes	Yes	No	NR
PTSD .	52.4	NR	M	12	23	COM	>10	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
j	41.25	Mixed	M	7	8	COM	>10	Yes	No	No	Severe
- DSTG	46			7	7						
C	12.1	Mixed	F/M	44	61	CM	>2	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR
C	11.53	Mixed	F/M	28	99	CM	>2	Yes	No	NR	NR
C	43.00	Mixed	F/M	15	11	Acc	<ul><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li></ul>	No No	No	NR	Moderate
DTSD	48.6	Mixed	Ĺ	35 .	41	CAN	< 2	Yes	Yes	NR	Only re-experiencing
combat, CSA ors, POW = p	= child	dhood sexual s of war, PA	abuse, C = poison	M = chi attack,	ldhood n P-HC =	naltreatment, F PTSD vs. HC,	85 = burn survivors, Acc = P-NPTSD = PTSD vs. No	Accide n-PTSL	nt, FF = fire ), HC-NPTS	fighters, H D = HC v	OL = police officers, s. Non-PTSD.
	TSD TSD TSD TSD TSD TSD TSD TSD TSD TSD	TSD     34.4       TSD     36.2       TSD     36.2       TSD     36.2       TSD     70.2       TSD     8.6       TSD     52.4       TSD     52.4       TSD     52.4       TSD     46       TSD     48.6       TSD     48.6       onbat, CSA = child       rs, POW = prisoner	<ul> <li>TSD 34.4</li> <li>TSD 36.2 NR</li> <li>TSD 36.2 NR</li> <li>TSD NR NR</li> <li>TSD NR NR</li> <li>TSD 32.4 NR</li> <li>TSD 46</li> <li>TSD 46</li> <li>11.53 Mixed</li> <li>43.00 Mixed</li> <li>TSD 48.6 Mixed</li> <li>ombat, CSA = childhood sexual</li> <li>rs, POW = prisoners of war, PA</li> </ul>	TSD $34.4$ TSD $36.2$ NR $F/M$ TSD $36.2$ NR $F/M$ TSD $NR$ NR $F/M$ TSD $NR$ NR $F/M$ TSD $52.4$ NR $M$ TSD $52.4$ NR $M$ TSD $46$ $F/M$ TSD $46$ $F/M$ TSD $46$ $F/M$ TSD $48.6$ Mixed $F/M$	TSD 34.4 11 TSD 36.2 NR $F/M$ 14 TSD 36.2 NR $F/M$ 14 TSD NR NR $F/M$ 10 TSD NR NR $F/M$ 10 13.1 NR $F/M$ 9 TSD 52.4 NR $M$ 12 41.25 Mixed $M$ 7 TSD 46 $7$ 7 12.1 Mixed $F/M$ 28 11.53 Mixed $F/M$ 28 43.00 Mixed $F/M$ 15 TSD 48.6 Mixed $F/M$ 15 TSD 58.6 Mixe	TSD       34.4       11       11       11         TSD       36.2       NR $F/M$ 14       14         TSD       36.2       NR       NR $F/M$ 14       14         TSD       NR       NR       NR $F/M$ 10       27         TSD       52.4       NR $F/M$ 10       27         TSD       52.4       NR $M$ 12       23         TSD       46 $7$ 7       7         TSD       46 $7$ 7       7         TSD       46 $F/M$ 28       66         TSD       48.6       Mixed $F/M$ 15       11         TSD       48.6       Mixed $F/M$ 28       66         TSD       48.6       Mixed $F/M$ 15       11         TSD       48.6       Mixed $F/M$ 28       66         TSD       48.6       Mixed $F/M$ 15       11         TSD       48.6       Mixed $F/M$ 15       11         TSD       48.6       Mixed <t< td=""><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       F/M       14       14       POL         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       NR       F/M       14       14       POL         TSD       <math>NR</math>       NR       NR       F/M       10       <math>27</math>       COM         TSD       <math>31.1</math>       NR       F/M       9       9       0       CM         TSD       <math>52.4</math>       NR       M       12       <math>23</math>       COM         TSD       <math>46</math>       7       7       7       7       7         TSD       <math>46</math>       F/M       <math>12</math> <math>23</math>       COM         TSD       <math>46</math>       F/M       <math>28</math> <math>66</math>       CM         TSD       <math>48.6</math>       Mixed       F/M       <math>15</math>       11       <math>Acc</math>         TSD       <math>48.6</math>       Mixed       F/M       <math>15</math> <math>11</math> <math>Acc</math>         TSD       <math>48.6</math>       Mixed       F/M       <math>15</math> <math>11</math> <math>Acc</math>         TSD       <math>48.6</math>       Mixed       F/M       <math>15</math> <math>11</math> <math>Acc</math>         TSD       <math>48.6</math></td><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F/M</math> <math>14</math> <math>14</math> <math>10</math> <math>27</math>       COM       <math>0-2</math>         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>R/M</math> <math>10</math> <math>27</math>       COM       <math>0-2</math>         TSD       <math>NR</math>       NR       <math>R/M</math> <math>10</math> <math>27</math>       COM       <math>0-2</math>         TSD       <math>52.4</math>       NR       <math>M</math> <math>12</math> <math>23</math>       COM       <math>&gt;10</math>         TSD       <math>52.4</math>       NR       <math>M</math> <math>12</math> <math>23</math>       COM       <math>&gt;10</math>         TSD       <math>46</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math>         TSD       <math>46</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>2</math>         TSD       <math>46</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>2</math>         TSD       <math>48.6</math>       Mixed       <math>F/M</math> <math>15</math> <math>11</math> <math>Acc</math> <math>2</math>         TSD       <math>43.00</math>       Mixed       <math>F/M</math> <math>15</math> <math>11</math> <math>Acc</math> <math>2</math>         TSD       <math>48.6</math>       Mixed</td><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F</math>       17       17       CSA       &gt;10       Yes         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F</math>       17       17       CSA       &gt;10       Yes         TSD       NR       NR       NR       F/M       10       <math>27</math>       COM       <math>0-2</math>       NR         TSD       S2.4       NR       M       10       <math>27</math>       COM       <math>9-2</math>       Yes         TSD       S2.4       NR       M       12       23       COM       &gt;10       Yes         TSD       46       7       7       7       7       7       Yes         TSD       46       7       7       7       7       Yes         TSD       48.6       Mixed       F/M       15       11       Acc       <math>&lt; 2</math>       Yes         TSD       48.6       Mixed       F/M       15       11       Acc       <math>&lt; 2</math>       Yes         TSD       48.6       Mixed       F/M       2       41       CAN       <math>&lt; 2</math>       Yes         TSD       48.6       <td< td=""><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F/M</math>       14       14       POL       NR       Yes       No         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F/M</math>       14       14       POL       NR       Yes       NR       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       No       Yes       Yes</td><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F</math>       17       17       CSA       &gt;10       Yes       NR       Yes       NR       Yes         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       F/M       14       14       14       NR       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       NO       NC       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       Yes</td></td<></td></t<>	TSD $34.4$ 11       11       11         TSD $36.2$ NR       F/M       14       14       POL         TSD $36.2$ NR       NR       F/M       14       14       POL         TSD $NR$ NR       NR       F/M       10 $27$ COM         TSD $31.1$ NR       F/M       9       9       0       CM         TSD $52.4$ NR       M       12 $23$ COM         TSD $46$ 7       7       7       7       7         TSD $46$ F/M $12$ $23$ COM         TSD $46$ F/M $28$ $66$ CM         TSD $48.6$ Mixed       F/M $15$ 11 $Acc$ TSD $48.6$ Mixed       F/M $15$ $11$ $Acc$ TSD $48.6$ Mixed       F/M $15$ $11$ $Acc$ TSD $48.6$ Mixed       F/M $15$ $11$ $Acc$ TSD $48.6$	TSD $34.4$ 11       11       11         TSD $36.2$ NR $F/M$ $14$ $14$ $10$ $27$ COM $0-2$ TSD $36.2$ NR $R/M$ $10$ $27$ COM $0-2$ TSD $NR$ NR $R/M$ $10$ $27$ COM $0-2$ TSD $52.4$ NR $M$ $12$ $23$ COM $>10$ TSD $52.4$ NR $M$ $12$ $23$ COM $>10$ TSD $46$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ TSD $46$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $2$ TSD $46$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $2$ TSD $48.6$ Mixed $F/M$ $15$ $11$ $Acc$ $2$ TSD $43.00$ Mixed $F/M$ $15$ $11$ $Acc$ $2$ TSD $48.6$ Mixed	TSD $34.4$ 11       11       11       11         TSD $36.2$ NR $F$ 17       17       CSA       >10       Yes         TSD $36.2$ NR $F$ 17       17       CSA       >10       Yes         TSD       NR       NR       NR       F/M       10 $27$ COM $0-2$ NR         TSD       S2.4       NR       M       10 $27$ COM $9-2$ Yes         TSD       S2.4       NR       M       12       23       COM       >10       Yes         TSD       46       7       7       7       7       7       Yes         TSD       46       7       7       7       7       Yes         TSD       48.6       Mixed       F/M       15       11       Acc $< 2$ Yes         TSD       48.6       Mixed       F/M       15       11       Acc $< 2$ Yes         TSD       48.6       Mixed       F/M       2       41       CAN $< 2$ Yes         TSD       48.6 <td< td=""><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F/M</math>       14       14       POL       NR       Yes       No         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F/M</math>       14       14       POL       NR       Yes       NR       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       No       Yes       Yes</td><td>TSD       <math>34.4</math>       11       11       11       11         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       <math>F</math>       17       17       CSA       &gt;10       Yes       NR       Yes       NR       Yes         TSD       <math>36.2</math>       NR       F/M       14       14       14       NR       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       NO       NC       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       Yes</td></td<>	TSD $34.4$ 11       11       11       11         TSD $36.2$ NR $F/M$ 14       14       POL       NR       Yes       No         TSD $36.2$ NR $F/M$ 14       14       POL       NR       Yes       NR       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       No       Yes       Yes	TSD $34.4$ 11       11       11       11         TSD $36.2$ NR $F$ 17       17       CSA       >10       Yes       NR       Yes       NR       Yes         TSD $36.2$ NR       F/M       14       14       14       NR       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       NO       NC       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       NO       NO       Yes       Yes

Table C1

Tab	le D1												
	Study	Type of control	Age	Handed-ness	Gender	PTSD	Control	Trauma type	Time since trauma (years)	Axis1	Medication	Alcohol	PTSD severity
	Caudate												
-	Bremner et al. (1995)	HC	45.2	Mixed	М	26	22	COM	>10	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
0	Bremner et al. (1997)	HC	40.2	Mixed	Ц	17	17	CSA	>10	Yes	NR	Yes	NR
m	De Bellis et al. (2001)	HC	13.1	NR	F/M	6	6	CM	>2	Yes	Yes	No	NR
4	De Bellis et al. (1999)	HC	12.1	Mixed	F/M	4	61	CM	>2	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR
5	De Bellis et al. (2002)	HC	11.53	Mixed	F/M	28	<b>6</b> 6	CM	>2	Yes	no	NR	NR
	Corpus callosum												
9	De Bellis et al. (1999)	HC	12.1	Mixed	F/M	4	61	CM	>2	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR
٢	De Bellis et al. (2002	HC	11.53	Mixed	F/M	28	99	CM	>2	Yes	No	NR	NR
8	Villarreal et al. (2004)	HC	43.50	Mixed	F/M	12	10	Mixed	< 2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Severe
	Anterior cingulate												
6	Yamasue et al. (2003)	Non-PTSD	44.50	Mixed	M	6	16	PA	> 5	Yes	Yes	No	Severe
10	Rauch et al. (2003)	Non-PTSD	51.80	Mixed	М	6	6	COM nurses	>10	Yes	No	No	Severe
11	Araki et al. (2005)	Non-PTSD	47.10	Right	F/M	8	13	PA	> 5	No	No	No	Mild
12	Woodward et al. (2005a)	Non-PTSD	54.80	NR	Μ	38	25	COM	>10	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
13	Woodward et al. (2005b)	Non-PTSD	36.80	NR	F/M	13	23	COM	>10	Yes	NR	Yes	Severe
	Prefrontal/frontal lobe												
14	De Bellis et al. (1999)	HC	12.1	Mixed	F/M	44	61	CM	>2	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR
15	De Bellis et al. (2002)	HC	11.53	Mixed	F/M	28	99	CM	>2	Yes	No	NR	NR
16	Carrion et al. (2001)	NR	11.00	Mixed	$\mathrm{F}/\mathrm{M}$	12	12	NR	>2	Yes	Yes	NR	NR
ľ	Cavum septum pellucidum	CII			X	0	0		0				5
1/	Mysiobodsky et al. (1992)	НС	00.00	NK	М	10	10	COM	>10	NN	NO	No	Severe
18	May et al. (2004)	HC	52.00	NR	M	20	23		NR	NR	NR	NR	Severe
IPV NR	= intimate partner violence = not reported, CAN = cat	e, COM = combat, ncer survivors, PON	CSA = V = pris	childhood sexu oners of war, P	al abuse, d A = poiso	CM = chi n attack,	Idhood m $E$ P-HC = P	altreatment, BS TSD vs. HC, P	= burn survivors, Acc = ac -NPTSD = PTSD vs. non-P	cident, F ISD, HC	F = fire fighte C-NPTSD = H	ers, POL = IC vs. non	police officers, PTSD.

A. Karl et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 30 (2006) 1004–1031

#### References

- Aggleton, J.P., Brown, M.W., 1999. Episodic memory, amnesia, and the hippocampal-anterior thalamic axis. Behavioural Brain Science 22, 425–444 Discussion 444–489.
- American Psychiatric Association, A, 1994. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth ed. DSM-IV. American Psychiatric Press, Washington, DC.
- Araki, T., Kasai, K., Yamasue, H., Kato, N., Kudo, N., Ohtani, T., Nakagome, K., Kirihara, K., Yamada, H., Abe, O., Iwanami, A., 2005. Association between lower P300 amplitude and smaller anterior cingulate cortex volume in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder: a study of victims of Tokyo subway sarin attack. Neuroimage 25, 43–50.
- Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 2000. Voxel-based morphometry—the methods. Neuroimage 11, 805–821.
- Bartzokis, G., Mintz, J., Marx, P., Osborn, D., Gutkind, D., Chiang, F., Phelan, C.K., Marder, S.R., 1993. Reliability of in vivo volume measures of hippocampus and other brain structures using MRI. Magnetic Resonance Imaging 11, 993–1006.
- Bartzokis, G., Altshuler, L.L., Greider, T., Curran, J., Keen, B., Dixon, W.J., 1998. Reliability of medial temporal lobe volume measurements using reformatted 3D images. Psychiatry Research 82, 11–24.
- Bigler, E.D., Blatter, D.D., Anderson, C.V., Johnson, S.C., Gale, S.D., Hopkins, R.O., Burnett, B., 1997. Hippocampal volume in normal aging and traumatic brain injury. AJNR American Journal of Neuroradiology 18, 11–23.
- Blake, D., Weathers, L., Nagy, D., Kaloupek, G., Klauminzer, D., Charney, D., Keane, T., 1990. Clinician-Administered PTB Scale. National Center for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Boston, West Haven.
- Bonne, O., Brandes, D., Gilboa, A., Gomori, J.M., Shenton, M.E., Pitman, R.K., Shalev, A.Y., 2001. Longitudinal MRI study of hippocampal volume in trauma survivors with PTSD. American Journal of Psychiatry 158, 1248–1251.
- Bremner, J.D., 2001. Hypotheses and controversies related to effects of stress on the hippocampus: an argument for stress-induced damage to the hippocampus in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. Hippocampus 11, 75–81 Discussion 82–74.
- Bremner, J.D., Randall, P., Scott, T.M., Bronen, R.A., Seibyl, J.P., Southwick, S.M., Delaney, R.C., McCarthy, G., Charney, D.S., Innis, R.B., 1995. MRI-based measurement of hippocampal volume in patients with combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 152, 973–981.
- Bremner, J.D., Randall, P., Vermetten, E., Staib, L., Bronen, R.A., Mazure, C., Capelli, S., McCarthy, G., Innis, R.B., Charney, D.S., 1997. Magnetic resonance imaging-based measurement of hippocampal volume in posttraumatic stress disorder related to childhood physical and sexual abuse-a preliminary report. Biological Psychiatry 41, 23–32.
- Bremner, J.D., Narayan, M., Staib, L.H., Southwick, S.M., McGlashan, T., Charney, D.S., 1999a. Neural correlates of memories of childhood sexual abuse in women with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 156, 1787–1795.
- Bremner, J.D., Staib, L.H., Kaloupek, D., Southwick, S.M., Soufer, R., Charney, D.S., 1999b. Neural correlates of exposure to traumatic pictures and sound in Vietnam combat veterans with and without posttraumatic stress disorder: a positron emission tomography study. Biological Psychiatry 45, 806–816.
- Bremner, J.D., Vythilingam, M., Vermetten, E., Southwick, S.M., McGlashan, T., Nazeer, A., Khan, S., Vaccarino, L.V., Soufer, R., Garg, P.K., Ng, C.K., Staib, L.H., Duncan, J.S., Charney, D.S., 2003a. MRI and PET study of deficits in hippocampal structure and function in women with childhood sexual abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 160, 924–932.
- Bremner, J.D., Vythilingam, M., Vermetten, E., Southwick, S.M., McGlashan, T., Staib, L.H., Soufer, R., Charney, D.S., 2003b. Neural

correlates of declarative memory for emotionally valenced words in women with posttraumatic stress disorder related to early childhood sexual abuse. Biological Psychiatry 53, 879–889.

- Bremner, J.D., Vermetten, E., Vythilingam, M., Afzal, N., Schmahl, C., Elzinga, B., Charney, D.S., 2004. Neural correlates of the classic color and emotional stroop in women with abuse-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 55, 612–620.
- Brunson, K.L., Eghbal-Ahmadi, M., Bender, R., Chen, Y., Baram, T.Z., 2001. Long-term, progressive hippocampal cell loss and dysfunction induced by early life administration of corticotropin-releasing hormone reproduce the effects of early life stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98, 8856–8861.
- Buckley, T.C., Kaloupek, D.G., 2001. A meta-analytic examination of basal cardiovascular activity in posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychosomatic Medicine 63, 585–594.
- Buckner, R.L., Head, D., Parker, J., Fotenos, A.F., Marcus, D., Morris, J.C., Snyder, A.Z., 2004. A unified approach for morphometric and functional data analysis in young, old, and demented adults using automated atlas-based head size normalization: reliability and validation against manual measurement of total intracranial volume. Neuroimage 23, 724–738.
- Campbell, S., Marriott, M., Nahmias, C., MacQueen, G.M., 2004. Lower hippocampal volume in patients suffering from depression: a metaanalysis. American Journal of Psychiatry 161, 598–607.
- Canive, J.M., Lewine, J.D., Orrison Jr., W.W., Edgar, C.J., Provencal, S.L., Davis, J.T., Paulson, K., Graeber, D., Roberts, B., Escalona, P.R., Calais, L., 1997. MRI reveals gross structural abnormalities in PTSD. Annals of New York Academy of Sciences 21, 512–515.
- Carrion, V.G., Weems, C.F., Eliez, S., Patwardhan, A., Brown, W., Ray, R.D., Reiss, A.L., 2001. Attenuation of frontal asymmetry in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 50, 943–951.
- Clark, C.R., McFarlane, A.C., Morris, P., Weber, D.L., Sonkkilla, C., Shaw, M., Marcina, J., TochonDanguy, H.J., Egan, G.F., 2003. Cerebral function in posttraumatic stress disorder during verbal working memory updating: a positron emission tomography study. Biological Psychiatry 53, 474–481.
- Cohen, J., 1988. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences, second ed. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- De Bellis, M.D., Keshavan, M.S., Clark, D.B., Casey, B.J., Giedd, J.N., Boring, A.M., Frustaci, K., Ryan, N.D., 1999. A.E. Bennett research award. Developmental traumatology. Part II: brain development. Biological Psychiatry 45, 1271–1284.
- De Bellis, M.D., Hall, J., Boring, A.M., Frustaci, K., Moritz, G., 2001. A pilot longitudinal study of hippocampal volumes in pediatric maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 50, 305–309.
- De Bellis, M.D., Keshavan, M.S., Shifflett, H., Iyengar, S., Beers, S.R., Hall, J., Moritz, G., 2002. Brain structures in pediatric maltreatmentrelated posttraumatic stress disorder: a sociodemographically matched study. Biological Psychiatry 52, 1066–1078.
- Duric, V., McCarson, K.E., 2005. Hippocampal neurokinin-1 receptor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene expression is decreased in rat models of pain and stress. Neuroscience 133, 999–1006.
- Eberling, J.L., Wu, C., Haan, M.N., Mungas, D., Buonocore, M., Jagust, W.J., 2003. Preliminary evidence that estrogen protects against agerelated hippocampal atrophy. Neurobiology of Aging 24, 725–732.
- Eldridge, L.L., Knowlton, B.J., Furmanski, C.S., Bookheimer, S.Y., Engel, S.A., 2000. Remembering episodes: a selective role for the hippocampus during retrieval. Nature Neuroscience 3, 1149–1152.
- Fennema-Notestine, C., Stein, M.B., Kennedy, C.M., Archibald, S.L., Jernigan, T.L., 2002. Brain morphometry in female victims of intimate partner violence with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 52, 1089–1101.
- Field, A.P., 2001. Meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: a Monte Carlo comparison of fixed- and random-effects methods. Psychological Methods 6, 161–180.

- Free, S.L., Bergin, P.S., Fish, D.R., Cook, M.J., Shorvon, S.D., Stevens, J.M., 1995. Methods for normalization of hippocampal volumes measured with MR. AJNR American Journal of Neuroradiology 16, 637–643.
- Geuze, E., Vermetten, E., Bremner, J.D., 2005. MR-based in vivo hippocampal volumetrics: 1. Review of methodologies currently employed. Molecular Psychiatry 10, 147–159.
- Giedd, J.N., Vaituzis, A.C., Hamburger, S.D., Lange, N., Rajapakse, J.C., Kaysen, D., Vauss, Y.C., Rapoport, J.L., 1996. Quantitative MRI of the temporal lobe, amygdala, and hippocampus in normal human development: ages 4–18 years. Journal of Comparative Neurology 366, 223–230.
- Gilbertson, M.W., Shenton, M.E., Ciszewski, A., Kasai, K., Lasko, N.B., Orr, S.P., Pitman, R.K., 2002. Smaller hippocampal volume predicts pathologic vulnerability to psychological trauma. Nature Neuroscience 5, 1242–1247.
- Glass, G.V., McGaw, B., Smith, M.L., 1981. Meta-Analysis in Social Research. Sage, Beverly Hills, CA.
- Golier, J.A., Yehuda, R., Lupien, S.J., Harvey, P.D., Grossman, R., Elkin, A., 2002. Memory performance in Holocaust survivors with posttraumatic stress disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 159, 1682–1688.
- Gould, E., McEwen, B.S., Tanapat, P., Galea, L.A., Fuchs, E., 1997. Neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of the adult tree shrew is regulated by psychosocial stress and NMDA receptor activation. Journal of Neurosciences 17, 2492–2498.
- Gurvits, T.V., Lasko, N.B., Schachter, S.C., Kuhne, A.A., Orr, S.P., Pitman, R.K., 1993. Neurological status of Vietnam veterans with chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 5, 183–188.
- Gurvits, T.V., Shenton, M.E., Hokama, H., Ohta, H., 1996. Magnetic resonance imaging study of hippocampal volume in chronic, combatrelated posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 40, 1091–1099.
- Gurvits, T.V., Gilbertson, M.W., Lasko, N.B., Tarhan, A.S., Simeon, D., Macklin, M.L., Orr, S.P., Pitman, R.K., 2000. Neurologic soft signs in chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. Achieves of General Psychiatry 57, 181–186.
- Harvey, A.G., Bryant, R.A., Dang, S.T., 1998. Autobiographical memory in acute stress disorder. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 66, 500–506.
- Harvey, B.H., Naciti, C., Brand, L., Stein, D.J., 2003. Endocrine, cognitive and hippocampal/cortical 5HT 1A/2A receptor changes evoked by a time-dependent sensitisation TDS stress model in rats. Brain Research 983, 97–107.
- Hedges, D.W., Allen, S., Tate, D.F., Thatcher, G.W., Miller, M.J., Rice, S.A., Cleavinger, H.B., Sood, S., Bigler, E.D., 2003. Reduced hippocampal volume in alcohol and substance naive Vietnam combat veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. Cognitive and Behavioural Neurology 16, 219–224.
- Hedges, L.V., Olkin, I., 1985. Statistical Methods for Meta-Analysis. Academic Press, New York.
- Hedges, L.V., Vevea, J.L., 1998. Fixed- and random-effects models in meta-analysis. Psychological Methods 3, 486–504.
- Hunter, J.E., Schmidt, F.L., 1990. Methods of Meta-Analysis. Sage Publications, Newbury Park.
- Jacobson, L., Sapolsky, R., 1991. The role of the hippocampus in feedback regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. Endocrine Reviews 12, 118–134.
- Jelicic, M., Merckelbach, H., 2004. Traumatic stress, brain changes, and memory deficits: a critical note. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 192, 548–553.
- Karl, A., Malta, L.S., Maercker, A., 2006. Meta-analytic review of eventrelated potential studies in post-traumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychology 71, 123–147.
- Kitayama, N., Vaccarino, V., Kutner, M., Weiss, P., Bremner, J.D., 2005. Magnetic resonance imaging MRI measurement of hippocampal

volume in posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders 88, 79–86.

- Koenen, K.C., Harley, R., Lyons, M.J., Wolfe, J., Simpson, J.C., Goldberg, J., Eisen, S.A., Tsuang, M., 2002. A twin registry study of familial and individual risk factors for trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 190, 209–218.
- Kraemer, H.C., Thiemann, S., 1987. How Many Subjects? Statistical Power Analysis in Research. Sage, Beverly Hills.
- Lange, C., Irle, E., 2004. Enlarged amygdala volume and reduced hippocampal volume in young women with major depression. Psychological Medicine 34, 1059–1064.
- Li, C., Maier, D.L., Cross, B., Doherty, J.J., Christian, E.P., 2005. Fimbria-fornix lesions compromise the induction of long-term potentiation at the Schaffer collateral-CA1 synapse in the rat *in vivo*. Journal of Neurophysiology 93, 3001–3006.
- Lindauer, R.J., Vlieger, E.J., Jalink, M., Olff, M., Carlier, I.V., Majoie, C.B., den Heeten, G.J., Gersons, B.P., 2004. Smaller hippocampal volume in Dutch police officers with posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 56, 356–363.
- Makris, N., Meyer, J.W., Bates, J.F., Yeterian, E.H., Kennedy, D.N., Caviness, V.S., 1999. MRI-based topographic parcellation of human cerebral white matter and nuclei II. Rationale and applications with systematics of cerebral connectivity. Neuroimage 9, 18–45.
- Matsuo, K., Taneichi, K., Matsumoto, A., Ohtani, T., Yamasue, H., Sakano, Y., Sasaki, T., Sadamatsu, M., Kasai, K., Iwanami, A., Asukai, N., Kato, N., Kato, T., 2003. Hypoactivation of the prefrontal cortex during verbal fluency test in PTSD: a near-infrared spectroscopy study. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging 124, 1–10.
- Matsuoka, Y., Yamawaki, S., Inagaki, M., Akechi, T., Uchitomi, Y., 2003. A volumetric study of amygdala in cancer survivors with intrusive recollections. Biological Psychiatry 54, 736–743.
- May, F.S., Chen, Q.C., Gilbertson, M.W., Shenton, M.E., Pitman, R.K., 2004. Cavum septum pellucidum in monozygotic twins discordant for combat exposure: relationship to posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 55, 656–658.
- McEwen, B.S., 1998. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. New England Journal of Medicine 338, 171–179.
- McEwen, B.S., 2001. Commentary on PTSD discussion. Hippocampus 11, 82–84.
- McEwen, B.S., Stellar, E., 1993. Stress and the individual. Mechanisms leading to disease. Archives of Internal Medicine 153, 2093–2101.
- McNally, R.J., Lasko, N.B., Macklin, M.L., Pitman, R.K., 1995. Autobiographical memory disturbance in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Behavioral Research and Therapy 33, 619–630.
- Miyahira, Y., Yu, J., Hiramatsu, K., Shimazaki, Y., Takeda, Y., 2004. [Brain volumetric MRI study in healthy elderly persons using statistical parametric mapping]. Seishin. Shinkeigaku Zasshi 106, 138–151.
- Moghaddam, B., 2002. Stress activation of glutamate neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex: implications for dopamine-associated psychiatric disorders. Biological Psychiatry 51, 775–787.
- Moghaddam, B., Bolinao, M.L., 1994. Glutamatergic antagonists attenuate ability of dopamine uptake blockers to increase extracellular levels of dopamine: implications for tonic influence of glutamate on dopamine release. Synapse 18, 337–342.
- Mullen, B., Rosenthal, R., 1985. Basic Meta-Analysis: Procedures and Programs. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Myslobodsky, M.S., Glicksohn, J., Singer, J., Stern, M., 1995. Changes in brain anatomy in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder: a pilot magnetic resonance imaging study. Psychiatry Research 58, 259–264.
- Nakano, T., Wenner, M., Inagaki, M., Kugaya, A., Akechi, T., Matsuoka, Y., Sugahara, Y., Imoto, S., Murakami, K., Uchitomi, Y., 2002. Relationship between distressing cancer-related recollections and hippocampal volume in cancer survivors. American Journal of Psychiatry 159, 2087–2093.
- Neuner, F., Schauer, M., Karunakara, U., Klaschik, C., Robert, C., Elbert, T., 2004. Psychological trauma and evidence for enhanced

vulnerability for posttraumatic stress disorder through previous trauma among West Nile refugees. BMC Psychiatry 25, 4–34.

- Neylan, T.C., Lenoci, M., Rothlind, J., Metzler, T.J., Schuff, N., Du, A.T., Franklin, K.W., Weiss, D.S., Weiner, M.W., Marmar, C.R., 2004a. Attention, learning, and memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. Journal of Traumatic Stress 17, 41–46.
- Neylan, T.C., Lenoci, M., Rothlind, J., Metzler, T.J., Schuff, N., Du, A.T., Franklin, K.W., Weiss, D.S., Weiner, M.W., Marmar, C.R., 2004b. Attention, learning, and memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. Journal of Traumatic Stress 17, 41–46.
- O'Keefe, J., Nadel, L., 1978. The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map. Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York.
- Orwin, R.G., 1983. A fail safe N for effect size in meta-analysis. Journal for Educational Statistics 8, 157–159.
- Pederson, C.L., Maurer, S.H., Kaminski, P.L., Zander, K.A., Peters, C.M., Stokes-Crowe, L.A., Osborn, R.E., 2004. Hippocampal volume and memory performance in a community-based sample of women with posttraumatic stress disorder secondary to child abuse. Journal of Traumatic Stress 17, 37–40.
- Peters, M., Jäncke, L., Staiger, J.F., Schlaug, G., Huang, Y., Steinmetz, 1998. Unsolved problems in comparing brain sizes in *Homo sapiens*. Brain and Cognition 37, 254–285.
- Pruessner, J.C., Li, L.M., Serles, W., Pruessner, M., Collins, D.L., Kabani, N., Lupien, S., Evans, A.C., 2000. Volumetry of hippocampus and amygdala with high-resolution MRI and three-dimensional analysis software: minimizing the discrepancies between laboratories. Cerebral Cortex 10, 433–442.
- Pruessner, J.C., Collins, D.L., Pruessner, M., Evans, A.C., 2001. Age and gender predict volume decline in the anterior and posterior hippocampus in early adulthood. Journal of Neuroscience 21, 194–200.
- Rauch, S.L., van der Kolk, B.A., Fisler, R.E., Alpert, N.M., Orr, S.P., Savage, C.R., Fischman, A.J., Jenike, M.A., Pitman, R.K., 1996. A symptom provocation study of posttraumatic stress disorder using positron emission tomography and script-driven imagery. Achieves of General Psychiatry 53, 380–387.
- Rauch, S.L., Shin, L.M., Segal, E., Pitman, R.K., Carson, M.A., McMullin, K., Whalen, P.J., Makris, N., 2003. Selectively reduced regional cortical volumes in post-traumatic stress disorder. Neuroreport 14, 913–916.
- Raz, N., Gunning-Dixon, F., Head, D., Rodrigue, K.M., Williamson, A., Acker, J.D., 2004. Aging, sexual dimorphism, and hemispheric asymmetry of the cerebral cortex: replicability of regional differences in volume. Neurobiology of Aging 25, 377–396.
- Rempel-Clower, N.L., Zola, S.M., Squire, L.R., Amaral, D.G., 1996. Three cases of enduring memory impairment after bilateral damage limited to the hippocampal formation. Journal of Neuroscience 16, 5233–5255.
- Rohleder, N., Joksimovic, L., Wolf, J.M., Kirschbaum, C., 2004. Hypocortisolism and increased glucocorticoid sensitivity of pro-Inflammatory cytokine production in Bosnian war refugees with posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 55, 745–751.
- Rosenthal, R., 1995. Writing meta-analytic reviews. Psychological Bulletin 118, 183–192.
- Ross, R., 1999. Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. New England Journal of Medicine 340, 115–126.
- Sapolsky, R.M., 2000. Glucocorticoids and hippocampal atrophy in neuropsychiatric disorders. Archives of General Psychiatry 57, 925–935.
- Sapolsky, R.M., Armanini, M.P., Packan, D.R., Sutton, S.W., Plotsky, P.M., 1990. Glucocorticoid feedback inhibition of adrenocorticotropic hormone secretagogue release. Relationship to corticosteroid receptor occupancy in various limbic sites. Neuroendocrinology 51, 328–336.
- Schuff, N., Neylan, T.C., Lenoci, M.A., Du, A.T., Weiss, D.S., Marmar, C.R., Weiner, M.W., 2001. Decreased hippocampal N-acetylaspartate in the absence of atrophy in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 50, 952–959.

- Schwarzer, R., 1989. Meta-analysis Programs Version 5.0. Berlin, Germany: Available on the internet at http://www.fu-berlin.de/ gesund/gesu\_engl/meta\_e.htm.
- Shaw, M.E., Strother, S.C., McFarlane, A.C., Morris, P., Anderson, J., Clark, C.R., Egan, G.F., 2002. Abnormal functional connectivity in posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuroimage 15, 661–674.
- Sheline, Y.I., Gado, M.H., Price, J.L., 1998. Amygdala core nuclei volumes are decreased in recurrent major depression. Neuroreport 9, 2023–2028.
- Shenton, M.E., Kikinis, R., Jolesz, F.A., Pollak, S.D., LeMay, M., Wible, C.G., Hokama, H., Martin, J., Metcalf, D., Coleman, M., 1992. Abnormalities of the left temporal lobe and thought disorder in schizophrenia. A quantitative magnetic resonance imaging study. New England Journal of Medicine 327, 604–612.
- Shin, L.M., McNally, R.J., Kosslyn, S.M., Thompson, W.L., Rauch, S.L., Alpert, N.M., Metzger, L.J., Lasko, N.B., Orr, S.P., Pitman, R.K., 1999. Regional cerebral blood flow during script-driven imagery in childhood sexual abuse-related PTSD: a PET investigation. American Journal of Psychiatry 156, 575–584.
- Shin, L.M., Whalen, P.J., Pitman, R.K., Bush, G., Macklin, M.L., Lasko, N.B., Orr, S.P., McInerney, S.C., Rauch, S.L., 2001. An fMRI study of anterior cingulate function in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 50, 932–942.
- Shin, L.M., Orr, S.P., Carson, M.A., Rauch, S.L., Macklin, M.L., Lasko, N.B., Peters, P.M., Metzger, L.J., Dougherty, D.D., Cannistraro, P.A., Alpert, N.M., Fischman, A.J., Pitman, R.K., 2004a. Regional cerebral blood flow in the amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex during traumatic imagery in male and female Vietnam veterans with PTSD. Archives of General Psychiatry. 61, 168–176.
- Shin, L.M., Shin, P.S., Heckers, S., Krangel, T.S., Macklin, M.L., Orr, S.P., Lasko, N., Segal, E., Makris, N., Richert, K., Levering, J., Schacter, D.L., Alpert, N.M., Fischman, A.J., Pitman, R.K., Rauch, S.L., 2004b. Hippocampal function in posttraumatic stress disorder. Hippocampus 14, 292–300.
- Smith, M.E., 2005. Bilateral hippocampal volume reduction in adults with post-traumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis of structural MRI studies. Hippocampus 15, 798–807.
- Squire, L.R., 1992. Memory and the hippocampus: a synthesis from findings with rats, monkeys, and humans. Psychological Reviews 99, 195–231.
- Stein, M.B., Koverola, C., Hanna, C., Torchia, M.G., McClarty, B., 1997. Hippocampal volume in women victimized by childhood sexual abuse. Psychological Medicine 27, 951–959.
- Testa, C., Laakso, M.P., Sabattoli, F., Rossi, R., Beltramello, A., Soininen, H., Frisoni, G.B., 2004. A comparison between the accuracy of voxel-based morphometry and hippocampal volumetry in Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 19, 274–282.
- Tulving, E., 1985. How many memory systems are there? American Psychology 40, 385–398.
- Van Petten, C., 2004. Relationship between hippocampal volume and memory ability in healthy individuals across the lifespan: review and meta-analysis. Neuropsychologia 42, 1394–1413.
- Vasterling, J.J., Brailey, K., Constans, J.I., Sutker, P.B., 1998. Attention and memory dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychology 12, 125–133.
- Vasterling, J.J., Duke, L.M., Brailey, K., Constans, J.I., Allain Jr., A.N., Sutker, P.B., 2002. Attention, learning, and memory performances and intellectual resources in Vietnam veterans: PTSD and no disorder comparisons. Neuropsychology 16, 5–14.
- Vermetten, E., Vythilingam, M., Southwick, S.M., Charney, D.S., Bremner, J.D., 2003. Long-term treatment with paroxetine increases verbal declarative memory and hippocampal volume in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 54, 693–702.
- Videbech, P., Ravnkilde, B., 2004. Hippocampal volume and depression: a meta-analysis of MRI studies. American Journal of Psychiatry 161, 1957–1966.

- Villarreal, G., Hamilton, D.A., Petropoulos, H., Driscoll, I., Rowland, L.M., Griego, J.A., Kodituwakku, P.W., Hart, B.L., Escalona, R., Brooks, W.M., 2002. Reduced hippocampal volume and total white matter volume in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 52, 119–125.
- Villarreal, G., Hamilton, D.A., Graham, D.P., Driscoll, I., Qualls, C., Petropoulos, H., Brooks, W.M., 2004. Reduced area of the corpus callosum in posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Research 131, 227–235.
- Vythilingam, M., Luckenbaugh, D.A., Lam, T., Morgan 3rd, C.A., Lipschitz, D., Charney, D.S., Bremner, J.D., Southwick, S.M., 2005. Smaller head of the hippocampus in Gulf War-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Research 139, 89–99.
- Watson, C., Andermann, F., Gloor, P., Jones-Gotman, M., Peters, T., Evans, A., Olivier, A., Melanson, D., Leroux, G., 1992. Anatomic basis of amygdaloid and hippocampal volume measurement by magnetic resonance imaging. Neurology 42, 1743–1750.
- Wheeler, M.E., Buckner, R.L., 2004. Functional–anatomic correlates of remembering and knowing. Neuroimage 21, 1337–1349.
- Wignall, E.L., Dickson, J.M., Vaughan, P., Farrow, T.F., Wilkinson, I.D., Hunter, M.D., Woodruff, P.W., 2004. Smaller hippocampal volume in patients with recent-onset posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry 56, 832–836.

- Winter, H., Irle, E., 2004. Hippocampal volume in adult burn patients with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 161, 2194–2200.
- Wiseman, R.M., Saxby, B.K., Burton, E.J., Barber, R., Ford, G.A., O'Brien, J.T., 2004. Hippocampal atrophy, whole brain volume, and white matter lesions in older hypertensive subjects. Neurology 63, 1892–1897.
- Woodward, S.H., Kaloupek, D.G., Streeter, C.C., Martinez, C., Schaer, M., Eliez, S., 2005a. Decreased anterior cingulate volume in combatrelated PTSD. Biological Psychiatry.
- Woodward, S.H., Kaloupek, D.G., Streeter, C.C., Martinez, C., Schaer, M., Eliez, S., 2005b. Decreased anterior cingulate volume in combatrelated PTSD. Biological Psychiatry.
- Yamasue, H., Kasai, K., Iwanami, A., Ohtani, T., Yamada, H., Abe, O., Kuroki, N., Fukuda, R., Tochigi, M., Furukawa, S., Sadamatsu, M., Sasaki, T., Aoki, S., Ohtomo, K., Asukai, N., Kato, N., 2003. Voxelbased analysis of MRI reveals anterior cingulate gray-matter volume reduction in posttraumatic stress disorder due to terrorism. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences 100, 9039–9043.
- Zola, S.M., Squire, L.R., 2001. Relationship between magnitude of damage to the hippocampus and impaired recognition memory in monkeys. Hippocampus 11, 92–98.